

RADLEY

Examinations Policy

March 2026

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre	John Moule
Senior Leader(s)	Roger Shaw, Deputy Head (Systems)
Head of Examinations	Louise Vaan
Deputy Head of Examinations	Judith Geddes
SENCo (or equivalent role)	Lil Pullen

Contents

Key staff involved in the policy.....	2
Purpose of the policy	7
Roles and responsibilities overview.....	7
Resilience and contingency arrangements	9
Process for gathering evidence of candidate assessment performance	9
Contingency Plan.....	10
Cyber security	10
Recruitment, selection, training and support.....	12
External and internal governance arrangements.....	13
Escalation Process.....	13
Delivery of qualifications	13
Conflicts of interest	13
Controlled assessments, coursework and non-examination assessments.....	14
Security of assessment materials	14
National Centre Number Register and other information requirements.....	14
Centre inspections.....	15
Policies available for inspection	16
Child Protection/Safeguarding Policy.....	16
Complaints Policy (Exams).....	16
Conflicts of interest.....	16
Contingency Plan.....	17
Data Protection Policy (Exams).....	17
Equalities Policy	17
Internal Appeals Procedure.....	17
Malpractice Policy	17
Non-examination Assessment (including controlled assessments and coursework) Policy	18
Whistleblowing Policy (Exams).....	18
Word Processor Policy (Exams).....	18
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments	18
Access Arrangements Policy	19
Malpractice	19
Personal data	20

Planning: roles and responsibilities	23
Secure materials	23
The secure room and the secure storage facility.....	23
Information sharing	23
Information gathering.....	24
Access arrangements.....	24
Alternative Rooming Arrangements Policy (Exams).....	25
Internal assessment and endorsements	25
Invigilation.....	27
Entries and registrations: roles and responsibilities	27
Estimated entries	27
Estimated entries collection and submission procedure	27
Final entries.....	28
Final entries collection and submission procedure.....	28
Entry fees.....	28
Late entries	28
Re-sit entries.....	29
Private candidates	29
Candidate statements of entry.....	29
Pre-exams: roles and responsibilities	29
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments.....	29
Briefing candidates	30
Dispatch of exam scripts.....	30
Estimated grades.....	30
Internal assessment and endorsements	31
Invigilation arrangements.....	31
JCQ Centre Inspections	32
Seating and identifying candidates in exam rooms	32
Candidate Identification Procedure	32
Security of exam materials	33
Timetabling and rooming.....	34
Overnight Supervision Arrangements Policy.....	34
Alternative site arrangements.....	34

School/Mock Exams.....	35
Exam time: roles and responsibilities.....	35
Access arrangements.....	35
Candidate absence/Late arrival.....	35
Candidate Absence/late arrival Policy.....	35
Dispatch of exam scripts.....	36
Exam papers and materials	36
Food and Drink Policy (Exams)	37
Leaving the Examination Room Policy	37
Emergency Evacuation Policy (Exams).....	38
Lockdown Policy (Exams).....	38
Irregularities.....	38
Malpractice	39
Special consideration.....	39
Special Consideration Policy.....	39
Unauthorised items.....	39
Arrangements for unauthorised items taken into the exam room	39
Internal exams/assessments.....	40
Results and post-results: roles and responsibilities.....	40
Internal assessment.....	40
Managing results day(s)	40
Results Day Programme.....	40
Accessing results.....	40
Post-results services.....	41
Analysis of results.....	41
Certificates	42
Certificate Issue Procedure and Retention Policy	42
Certificate Retention Policy.....	42
Exams review: roles and responsibilities.....	42
Retention of records: roles and responsibilities	42
Appendix a: Complaints (exams).....	43
Appendix b: Contingency Plan (exams)	49
Appendix c: Internal Appeals Procedure	59

Appendix d: Emergency evacuation policy.....	68
Appendix f: Emergency Evacuation of an Examination Room	71
Appendix e: Special Considerations policy	72
Appendix g: Non-Examination Policy	79
Appendix k: Malpractice Policy.....	118
Appendix l: Contingency Agreement	126

Purpose of the policy

Radley College is committed to ensuring that the examinations and assessments management and administration process is run effectively and efficiently and in compliance with the published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements.

This policy will ensure that:

- all aspects of the centre's process is documented, supporting the centre's contingency plan, and other relevant exams-related policies and procedures are signposted to
- the workforce is well informed and supported
- all centre staff involved in the process clearly understand their roles and responsibilities
- all exams and assessments are conducted according to JCQ and awarding body regulations, guidance and instructions, thus maintaining the integrity and security of the examination/assessment system at all times
- exam candidates understand the process and what is expected of them

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure ways of working in the centre are accurately reflected and that exams and assessments are conducted to current JCQ (and awarding body) regulations, instructions and guidance.

This policy will be communicated to all relevant centre staff via email and also placing in the Policy folder in Sharepoint.

Roles and responsibilities overview

(GR 2)

The **head of centre (Warden)** is the individual who is accountable to the awarding bodies for ensuring that the centre is always compliant with the published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements to ensure the security and integrity of the examinations/ assessments. This individual must have the authority to deploy the necessary resources to ensure that the centre is always compliant in meeting published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements.

The Head of Examinations (exams officer) is the person appointed by the head of centre to act on behalf of, and be the main point of contact for, the centre in matters relating to the general administration of awarding body examinations and assessments.

The head of centre cannot also be the examinations officer. A head of centre and an examinations officer are two distinct and separate roles.

Head of Centre's responsibilities

(GR 1)

Heads of centre must ensure that senior leadership teams and exam office personnel familiarise themselves with the entire contents of the current General Regulations for Approved Centres (GR) booklet. In particular, heads of centre must familiarise themselves with paragraphs 5.1, 5.3 and 5.4.

Heads of centre must ensure that relevant members of staff respond promptly to requests and/or actions raised by the JCQ Centre Inspection Service. Failure to do so could result in the centre not receiving or being able to access question papers and other confidential assessment materials. Ultimately, awarding bodies could withdraw approval of the centre.

Heads of centre must ensure that relevant members of staff respond promptly to requests for information from awarding bodies relating to the administration and conducting of examinations/assessments.

(ICE Introduction) **It is the responsibility of the head of centre to ensure that all staff comply with the instructions in the Instructions for conducting examinations document.** Failure to do so may constitute malpractice as defined in the JCQ document Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures, 1 September 2025 to 31 August 2026.

(GR 5.1)

The head of centre must ensure:

- compliance with the published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements to deliver the qualification(s)
- appropriate controls are in place which ensure accurate data is submitted to the awarding bodies by the required deadlines, e.g. registrations, entries, learner claims, centre-assessed marks or modified papers
- all reasonable steps are taken to respond promptly to requests for information or documentation made by an awarding body or regulatory authority

The Warden

- Understands the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to current JCQ documents including:
 - *A guide to the special consideration process (SC)*
 - *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments (AARA)*
 - *AI Use in Assessments: Your role in protecting the integrity of qualifications*
 - *Guidance for centres on cyber security*
 - *Instructions for conducting coursework (ICC)*
 - *Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE)*
 - *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (GCE and GCSE specifications) (NEA)*
 - *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (Vocational and Technical Qualifications) (NEA VTQs)*
 - *Notice to Centres – Informing candidates of their centre-assessed marks*
 - *Plagiarism in Assessments – Guidance for Teachers/Assessors*
 - *Suspected Malpractice – Policies and Procedures (SMPP)*
 -
- Ensures the centre has appropriate accommodation at the registered address to support the size of the cohorts being taught, including appropriate accommodation for candidates requiring access arrangements and/or practical assessments
- Where/if using a third party to deliver any part of a qualification (including the assessments or the administration) at the centre:
 - maintains oversight of, and responsibility for, the delivery and administration of the qualification in accordance with JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements
 - has in place a robust written agreement with the third party (unless exclusions apply), that includes provisions which ensure that qualifications are delivered in a way that complies with their agreement with the awarding body, to ensure there is

- a shared understanding of the arrangement and will manage the risk of failure by the third party to deliver the expected service
 - ensures that a copy of the written agreement is available for inspection if requested by the awarding body
 - monitors delivery by the third party to maintain compliance with the published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements, ensuring the security and integrity of examinations and assessments
 - ensures sufficient managerial and other resources are in place to resolve any issues
- Ensures that relevant members of staff respond promptly to requests and/or actions raised by the JCQ Centre Inspection Service, understanding that failure to do so could result in penalties (see **National Centre Number Register and other information requirements** section)
- Ensures that relevant members of staff respond promptly to requests for information from awarding bodies relating to the administration and conducting of examinations/assessments
- Ensures that the centre promptly reports any incidents to the relevant awarding body/bodies which might compromise any aspect of assessment delivery, such as a cyber-attack
- Ensures members of centre staff do not forward emails and letters from awarding body or JCQ personnel without prior consent to third parties or upload such correspondence onto social media sites and applications (including third party applications)
- Ensures members of centre staff do not advise parents/candidates to contact awarding bodies/JCQ directly nor provide them with the names, addresses and contact details (including email addresses) of examiners, moderators, external verifiers and any other awarding body examining/assessment personnel/JCQ personnel

Resilience and contingency arrangements

(GR 3.16-19)

The centre must ensure they are familiar with the regulators' guidance and/or awarding body guidance on ensuring resilience in the qualifications system. Centres should consider putting in place a process for gathering and securely retaining evidence of candidate performance in line with the published guidance.

In the unlikely event that the government determines that examinations cannot go ahead, the centre will need evidence of candidate assessment performance, such as mock examinations, to enable alternative methods of awarding grades.

Process for gathering evidence of candidate assessment performance

HoDs are responsible for keeping a copy of exam papers from the Phase 1 and Phase 2 Mocks, held in the Lent Term.

Papers are held until the final public exam has been completed.

Contingency Plan

Appendix b

The centre must have an up to date written contingency plan.

The contingency plan must cover all aspects of examination/assessment administration and delivery. Senior leaders must have robust contingency arrangements in place that will minimise the risk to examination/assessment administration and delivery and any adverse impact on candidates.

The plan must cover the following scenarios:

- the head of centre, relevant senior leader(s) with oversight of examination and assessment administration, SENCo (or equivalent role), examinations officer or any other key staff essential to the examination process being absent at a critical stage of the examination cycle
- the potential impact of other events such as flooding, which could lead to all or parts of the centre becoming unavailable
- potential issues with the centre's IT systems.

As part of its contingency plan the centre must identify an alternative site or alternative sites which can be used if examinations cannot be conducted at the registered address. Larger centres may require more than one potential alternative site or different sites for different year groups.

The centre must have at least one senior member of staff (senior designated contact) who is available to manage emergency requests from awarding bodies that are results related during the summer holidays. However, a number of contacts can be provided to reduce the risk of this falling on one individual throughout the summer holidays.

The centre must ensure where candidates' work is produced electronically it is backed-up and should consider the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including one off-site back-up via the Cloud. The centre must implement appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates' work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks.

Cyber security

(GR 3.20-21)

[UseOfIT.docx](#)

[DataProcessingProcedures.docx](#)

- In addition to the Whole Centre's policies above, it is the responsibility of

Head of Examinations/ Deputy Head of Examinations/ Invigilators

- To ensure that they follow best practice in relation to the management of individual/personal data/accounts
- To provide evidence of an awareness of best practice in relation to cyber security as defined by JCQ regulations/guidance, including the completion of certificated, annual, up-to-date cyber security awareness training
- To undertake training on:
 - the importance of creating strong, unique passwords
 - keeping all account details secret

- enabling additional security settings wherever possible
- updating any passwords which may have been exposed
- setting up/an awareness of secure account recovery options
- reviewing and managing connected applications
- awareness of all types of social engineering/phishing attempts
- reviewing and monitoring account access on a regular basis

2. Complying with JCQ regulations

The head of centre/senior management team at Radley College ensure that there are procedures in place to maintain the security of user accounts in line with JCQ regulations (sections 3.20 and 3.21 of the *General Regulations for Approved Centres* document) by:

- Developing and maintaining this cyber security policy
- Ensuring that all members of centre staff who access awarding bodies' online systems undertake annual, certificated cyber security training which includes:
 - the importance of creating strong, unique passwords
 - keeping all account details strictly confidential
 - the critical role of Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) in protecting against unauthorised access
 - how to properly set up and use MFA for both centre and awarding bodies' systems
 - an awareness of all types of social engineering/phishing attempts
 - the importance of staff quickly reporting suspicious activity, events and incidents
 -
- Downloading and retaining certificates of completed staff cyber training on file
- Implementing and enforcing robust security measures, including:
 - mandatory Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) for all accounts and systems containing exam-related information, including those that interface between awarding body and centre systems, to enhance security and protect sensitive data
 - regularly reviewing and updating security settings to align with current best practices
- Enabling additional security settings wherever possible
- Updating any passwords that may have been exposed
- Setting up secure account recovery options
- Reviewing and managing connected applications
- Monitoring accounts and regularly reviewing account access, including removing access when no longer required

- Ensuring authorised members of staff securely access awarding bodies' online systems in line with awarding body regulations regarding cyber security and the JCQ document *Guidance for centres on cyber security* (www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations), and that where necessary, they have access to a device which complies with awarding bodies' multi-factor authentication (MFA) requirements
- Reporting any actual or suspected compromise of an awarding body's online systems immediately to the relevant awarding body

It is the responsibility of the **head of centre** to ensure that the centre:

Recruitment, selection, training and support

(GR 5.3)

- Retains a workforce of an appropriate size and competence, including sufficient managerial and other appropriate resources, to undertake the delivery and administration of the qualification and assessments as required by an awarding body. This includes taking reasonable steps to ensure occupational competence where this is required for the assessment of specific qualifications
- The centre's contingency planning must include succession arrangements for members of staff involved in examination and assessment administration.
- Provides fully qualified teachers/assessors for the verification and marking of centre-assessed components
- Ensures that teaching staff do not use artificial intelligence (AI) as the sole means of marking candidates' work
- Enables the relevant senior leader(s), teachers, the examinations officer (EO) and the SENCo (or equivalent role) to receive appropriate training and support to facilitate the effective delivery of examinations and assessments within the centre and ensure compliance with the published JCQ and awarding body regulations
- Ensures the SENCo (or equivalent role), the centre's appointed access arrangements assessor and the examinations officer undertake regular CPD, such as attending an annual update course
- Ensures that the SENCo (or equivalent role) understands the JCQ document Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments and is given sufficient time to manage the access arrangements process within the centre
- Ensures that the examinations officer understands relevant awarding body and JCQ documentation and has sufficient time to perform their role
- Ensures that any member(s) of the senior leadership team who are responsible for examination administration familiarise themselves with relevant awarding body and JCQ documentation (This will ensure the examinations officer and the SENCo are supported as well as ensuring effective centre decision making in line with the published regulations)
- Ensures that teachers understand the relevant awarding body and JCQ documentation for the qualifications they are delivering to ensure they are delivered in line with the relevant regulations

External and internal governance arrangements

(GR 5.3)

- Has in place a written escalation process should the head of centre, or a member of the senior leadership team with oversight of examination and assessment administration, be absent

Escalation Process

In the absence of John Moule (HOC), Roger Shaw (Deputy Head-systems) will deputise on exam matters followed by Jonathan Porter (Deputy Head Academic) – more information in 'appendix g'

Has in place a member of the senior leadership team, Deputy Head (systems) who has a good working knowledge of the examination system, will provide effective line management support and supervision of the examinations officer to ensure that the integrity and security of examinations and assessments is maintained throughout an examination series

Ensures centre staff undertake key tasks within the exams process and meet internal deadlines set by the Head of Examinations.

Can confirm to an awarding body the external governance arrangements so that the awarding body has confidence in the integrity of centre activities such as the delivery of qualifications and the conducting of examinations and assessments

Makes sure that a teacher, a tutor or a senior member of centre staff who teaches the subject being examined, or a member of Academic Support who has supported one or more candidates is not an invigilator during the examination

Delivery of qualifications

(GR 5.3)

Delivers qualifications, as required by the awarding body and in accordance with relevant equality legislation. This includes but is not limited to ensuring that qualifications are made available to all candidates capable of undertaking them and seeking and implementing reasonable adjustments for disabled candidates

Enables candidates to receive sufficient and up to date practical experience or relevant training where required by the subject

Public liability

(GR 5.3)

Complies with local health and safety rules which are in place and that the centre is adequately covered for public liability claims

Conflicts of interest

(GR 5.3) See **Policies** below)

Controlled assessments, coursework and non-examination assessments

(GR 5.3)

Has in place arrangements to co-ordinate and standardise all marking of centre-assessed components and to ensure that candidates' centre-assessed work is produced, authenticated and marked, or assessed and quality assured in accordance with the awarding bodies' instructions (This applies to both internal and private candidates)

Submits, in accordance with awarding bodies' instructions, information they may reasonably require in relation to their examinations and assessments, returning all subject-specific forms by the required date

Security of assessment materials

(GR 5.3)

Takes all reasonable steps to maintain the integrity of the examinations/assessments, including the security of all assessment materials, by ensuring:

that assessment materials supplied to the centre by the awarding body, including pre-release materials and set assignments, and information about their contents are only shared with appropriate centre staff and candidates and are not shared outside the centre

reporting immediately to the awarding body/bodies any potential or actual breach of examination or assessment materials

Makes arrangements to:

receive, check and store question papers and examination material safely and securely at all times and for as long as required in accordance with the current JCQ document *Instructions for conducting examinations* access, download, print (where appropriate) and store electronic assessment materials safely and securely at all times in accordance with section 4 of the current JCQ document *Instructions for conducting examinations*

issue material received from the awarding bodies to staff and candidates, and notify them of any advice and instructions relevant to the examinations and assessments

Provides candidates access to relevant pre-release materials on, or as soon as possible after, the date specified by the awarding bodies

National Centre Number Register and other information requirements

(GR 5.3)

Provides contact details as follows:

a physical address to which all examination and assessment materials will be despatched – this must be the registered address of the centre

a landline telephone number – this must be the number of the main office/ switchboard of the centre

a contact email address for communications – this must be the email address of the person or team responsible for the administration of examinations (Personal email addresses such as ‘Yahoo’, ‘Hotmail’ and ‘Gmail’ are not acceptable)

Note: Except for WJEC, if this is a shared email account it must not be used to access awarding body secure websites

the name of the head of centre and their email address

senior designated contact details (this might include a personal mobile number and/or email address) (These must be the contact details of someone who can be reached in an emergency if the centre is closed over the summer and who can mobilise resources to respond to the issue)

Completes the National Centre Number Register annual update by the end of October every year even if there are no changes to centre details

informs the National Centre Number Register Team immediately (email address – ncn@ocr.org.uk) if any changes occur after the National Centre Number Register annual update has taken place (This must be on centre headed stationery which can be sent as an email attachment including the signature of the head of centre)

informs the National Centre Number Register Team (email address – ncn@ocr.org.uk) of any changes to relevant contact details no later than 6 weeks prior to moving to a new address or re-locating of the secure storage facility (This must be on centre headed stationery which can be sent as an email attachment)

informs the National Centre Number Register Team immediately of any other changes in circumstances that could affect the centre’s status

completes the National Centre Number Register Head of Centre Declaration by the end of October every year confirming they are aware of and adhering to the latest versions of the JCQ regulations

responds to any other reasonable requests made by the National Centre Number Register Team

(GR 1.9) Understands that the responsibility for completing the Head of Centre declaration survey cannot be delegated to a member of the senior leadership team or the examinations officer, and acknowledges that failure to respond to the NCNR annual update, the head of centre declaration and/or requests or actions raised by the JCQ Centre Inspection Service, will result in:

the centre status being suspended

the centre not being able to submit examination entries

the centre not receiving or being able to access question papers

and ultimately, awarding bodies could withdraw their approval of the centre.

Centre inspections

(GR 5.3)

Co-operates with the JCQ Centre Inspection Service, an awarding body or a regulatory authority when subject to an inspection, an investigation, an unannounced visit or any requests for information within the stipulated timeframe

Allows all venues used for examinations and assessments, paperwork and secure storage facilities to be open to inspection

Understands the JCQ Centre Inspector will identify themselves with a formal identity document and **must** be accompanied throughout their tour of the premises, including inspection of the centre's secure storage facility

Policies available for inspection

(GR 5.3)

Has in place the following written policies for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually by a member of the senior leadership team and communicated within the centre:

A child protection/safeguarding policy, including Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) clearance, which satisfies current legislative requirements

Child Protection/Safeguarding Policy

[Safeguarding.pdf](#)

Complaints Policy (Exams)

Appendix a

Conflicts of interest

(GR 5.3)

Manages conflicts of interest by informing the awarding bodies before the published deadline for entries for each examination series of any potential conflict of interest where:

any members of centre staff who are taking a qualification at this centre which includes internally-assessed components/units*

any members of centre staff who are teaching and preparing members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) for qualifications which include internally-assessed components/units, **and**

maintains internal records (that confirm the measures taken/protocols in place to mitigate any potential risk to the integrity of the qualifications affected) of all instances where:

exams office staff have members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) being entered for examinations and assessments, either at this centre or other centres

centre staff are taking qualifications at this centre which do not include internally-assessed components/units*

centre staff are taking qualifications at other centres

Retains records of all conflicts of interest, including details of the measures taken to mitigate any potential risk to the integrity of the qualifications affected (The records may be inspected by a JCQ Centre Inspector and/or awarding body staff. They might be requested in the event of concerns being reported to an awarding body. The records must be retained until the deadline for reviews of marking has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later)

*Notes that entering members of centre staff for qualifications at their own centre must be as a last resort in cases where the member of centre staff is unable to find another centre, and ensures:

proper protocols are in place to prevent the member of centre staff having access to examination materials prior to the examination and that other centre staff are briefed on maintaining the integrity and confidentiality of the examination materials

during the examination series the member of centre staff is treated in the same way as any other candidate entered for that examination, does not have access to examination materials and does not receive any preferential treatment

Conflicts of Interest Policy (Exams)

Appendix j

Contingency Plan

Appendix b

Data Protection Policy (Exams)

[DataBreach.pdf](#)

[DataProcessingProcedures.pdf](#)

Equalities Policy

[SEND.pdf](#)

Internal Appeals Procedure

which must cover at least appeals regarding internal assessment decisions, access to post-result services and appeals, and centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

Appendix c

Malpractice Policy

which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre. The policy must detail how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments and how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body. It

must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice).

Appendix k

Non-examination Assessment (including controlled assessments and coursework) Policy

regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework, which includes details on how candidates' work will be authenticated (For CCEA GCSE centres this would be a written controlled assessments policy)

Appendix g

Whistleblowing Policy (Exams)

Appendix i

Word Processor Policy (Exams)

[SEND.pdf](#)

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

(GR 5.4)

The head of centre/senior leadership team will:

- appoint a SENCo, or an equivalent member of staff, to coordinate the access arrangements process within the centre and determine appropriate arrangements for candidates with learning difficulties and disabilities, candidates for whom English is an additional language and those with a temporary illness or injury
- ensure that learners have the correct information and advice on their selected qualification(s) in an accessible format and that the qualification(s) meet their needs (The recruitment process must include the centre assessing each potential learner and making justifiable and professional judgements about the learner's potential to complete the examinations/assessments successfully and achieve the qualification(s). The centre's assessment must identify, where appropriate, the support that will be made available to the learner to facilitate access to examinations/assessments)
- recognise its duties towards disabled candidates, including private candidates, ensuring compliance with all aspects of the Equality Act 2010†, particularly Section 20 (7) (This must include a duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, to submit applications for reasonable adjustments through the access arrangements process and to make reasonable adjustments to the services the centre provides to disabled candidates. Where the centre is under a duty to make a reasonable adjustment, the centre must not charge a disabled candidate any additional fee in relation to the adjustment or aid)

for any legislation in a relevant jurisdiction other than England and Wales which has an equivalent purpose and effect

- ensure that the SENCo undertakes the necessary and appropriate steps to gather a picture of need and demonstrate normal way of working for a private candidate, such as a distance learner or a home educated student (The centre, where required, must lead on the assessment process. The candidate must be assessed by the centre's appointed assessor. In some instances, depending on their needs, the candidate may have to be assessed away from the centre, for example at home. The centre must comply with the obligation to identify the need for, request and implement access arrangements)
- ensure that where a candidate with a learning difficulty requires an assessment of their needs, they are assessed by an appropriately qualified assessor as appointed by the head of centre (Evidence of the assessor's qualification(s) must be obtained before they assess candidates and must be held on file for inspection)
- have a written process in place to check the qualification(s) of their assessor(s) and that the correct procedures are followed as in Chapter 7 of the JCQ document *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments*
- assist the awarding bodies in the discharge of their duty to make reasonable adjustments by requesting access arrangements, where required, and fully support the SENCo in effectively implementing those arrangements once approved

Access Arrangements Policy

- [SEND.pdf](#)

Malpractice

(GR 5.11)

The centre will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place
- inform the awarding immediately of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation
- as required by an awarding body, ensures evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) is gathered in accordance with the current JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures* and provides such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require
- (GR 6.2) Ensures any person involved in administering, teaching or completing examinations/assessments is advised that where malpractice is suspected, or alleged, personal data about them will be provided to the awarding body (or bodies) whose examinations/assessments are involved. Personal data about them may also be shared with other

awarding bodies, the qualifications regulator or professional bodies in accordance with the JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice – Policies and Procedures*

Personal data

(GR 6.6, 6.8)

It is the responsibility of centres to inform candidates of the processing that the centre undertakes. For example, that the centre will provide relevant personal data including name, date of birth, gender to the awarding bodies for the purpose of examining and awarding qualifications.

Materials which are submitted by candidates for assessment may include any form of written work, audio and visual materials, computer programs and data ("Student Materials"). Awarding bodies may use the Student Materials to evaluate candidates' performance in the relevant assessment. They may also use the Student Materials for other purposes as outlined in their privacy policies and in accordance with their terms. Candidates should be directed to the relevant awarding body's privacy notice if they require further information about how their Student Materials may be used by the awarding body.

Where a centre or third party is in possession of any Student Materials for the purposes of candidate assessment, the Student Materials will be held on behalf of the awarding body.

Exams officer (EO)

- Understands the contents of annually updated JCQ documents including:
 - A guide to the special consideration process
 - General Regulations for Approved Centres
 - Instructions for conducting examinations
 - Post-Results Services (PRS)
 - Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures
- Completes/submits the National Centre Number Register annual update (administered on behalf of the JCQ member awarding bodies by Cambridge OCR <https://ocr.org.uk/administration/ncn-annual-update/>) by the end of October every year even if there are no changes to centre details, to confirm the centre's contact details or informs of any changes ((and follows the process (in GR 5.3) if any changes occur after the annual update has taken place)
- Is familiar with the contents of annually updated information from awarding bodies on administrative procedures, key tasks, key dates and deadlines
- Ensures key tasks are undertaken and key dates and deadlines met
- Recruits, trains and deploys a team of internal/external invigilators; appoints lead invigilators, as may be applicable to the centre and keeps a record of the content of training provided to invigilators for the required period
- Works with the SENCo (or equivalent role) to ensure invigilators supervising access arrangement candidates and those acting as a facilitator supporting access arrangement candidates fully understand the respective role and what is and what is not permissible in the exam room
- Supports the head of centre in ensuring that awarding bodies are informed (where required) of any conflict of interest declared by members of centre staff and in maintaining internal records that confirm the measures taken/protocols in place to mitigate any potential risk to the integrity of the qualifications affected before the published deadline for entries for each examination series

- Briefs other relevant centre staff where they may be involved in the receipt and dispatch of confidential materials on the requirements for maintaining the integrity and security of confidential examination/assessment materials

Senior leaders

- Are familiar with the contents, refer to and direct relevant centre staff to current JCQ documents including:
 - *A guide to the special consideration process*
 - *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments*
 - *AI Use in Assessments: Your role in protecting the integrity of qualifications*
 - *Guidance for centres on cyber security*
 - *Instructions for conducting coursework*
 - *Instructions for conducting examinations*
 - *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (GCE and GCSE specifications)*
 - *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (Vocational and Technical Qualifications)*
 - *Notice to Centres – Informing candidates of their centre-assessed marks*
 - *Plagiarism in Assessments – Guidance for Teachers/Assessors*
 - *Post-Results Services*
 - *Suspected Malpractice – Policies and Procedures*
- Ensure teaching staff undertake key tasks, as detailed in this policy, within the exams process (exam cycle) and meet internal deadlines set by the EO and SENCo (or equivalent role)
- Ensure teaching staff keep themselves updated with awarding body subject and teacher-specific information to confirm effective delivery of qualifications
- Ensure teaching staff attend relevant awarding body training and update events

Special educational needs co-ordinator (SENCo)

- Understands the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to current JCQ documents including:
 - Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments
- Leads on the access arrangements and reasonable adjustments process (referred to in this policy as 'access arrangements')
- If not the qualified access arrangements assessor, works with the person appointed, on all matters relating to assessing candidates and ensures the correct procedures are followed
- Presents when requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector, evidence of the assessor's qualification (GR 5.4)
- Ensures any applications for access arrangements or reasonable adjustments are submitted by the published deadline (The SENCo will hold on file appropriate documentary evidence to substantiate such an arrangement, which is open to inspection. For those qualifications covered by Access Arrangements Online, a JCQ Centre Inspector will sample a centre's applications)
- Ensures a file is presented which must contain for each online application the downloaded approval for the respective arrangement(s) and supporting evidence of need (This information

must be readily available for inspection at the venue where the candidate is taking the examination(s)

- Ensures requests for modified papers are submitted by the published deadline
- Ensures there are appropriate resources in place at the time of examinations/assessments to meet candidates' needs, e.g. sufficient readers and scribes

Teaching staff

- Undertake key tasks, as detailed in this policy, within the exams process and meet internal deadlines set by the EO and SENCo (or equivalent role)
- Keep updated with awarding body subject and teacher-specific information to confirm effective delivery of qualifications
- Attend relevant awarding body training and update events

Invigilators

- Attend/undertake training (on the current regulations), update, briefing and review sessions as required
- Provide information as requested on their availability to invigilate
- Sign a confidentiality and security agreement and confirm whether they have any current maladministration/malpractice sanctions applied to them

Reception staff

- Support the EO in the receipt and dispatch of confidential materials and follow the requirements for maintaining the integrity and security of confidential examination/assessment materials

Site staff

- Support the EO in relevant matters relating to exam rooms and resources

Candidates

Where applicable in this policy, the term 'candidates' refers to candidates and/or their parents/carers.

The exam cycle

The exams management and administration process that needs to be undertaken for each **exam series** is referred to as the **exam cycle** and relevant tasks which need to be undertaken before, during and after an exam series grouped into the following stages:

- planning
- entries
- pre-exams
- exam time
- results and post-results

This policy identifies roles and responsibilities of centre staff within this cycle.

Planning: roles and responsibilities

Secure materials

Head of centre

(GR 3.6)

- Ensures the centre has a secure storage facility in a room solely assigned to examinations

(ICE 3.1)

The secure room and the secure storage facility

Question papers and pre-release materials issued by the awarding bodies must always be stored at the centre's registered address in a secure room with a secure storage facility, e.g. safe or security cabinet.

The secure room

The secure room must only be used for the purpose of administering secure examination materials.

Access to the secure room **must** be restricted to between two and six key holders, one of whom **must** be the exams officer. The two to six key holders **must** be permanent members of staff or members of staff who have a formal contract of employment and are subject to standard HR policies and procedures...

The secure room **must** be accessible throughout an examination series for the storage of question papers and be available for inspection.

The secure storage facility

Access to the secure storage facility **must** be restricted to between two and six key holders, one of whom **must** be the exams officer.

The two to six key holders **must** either be part of the exams team or the senior leadership team. A key holder from the exams team **must** be a permanent member of staff or a member of staff who has a formal contract of employment and is subject to standard HR policies and procedures...

When the secure storage facility is being accessed for the storage and preparation of secure assessment materials the door to the secure room **must** be closed.

Information sharing

Head of centre

- Directs relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ documents including GR, ICE, AARA, SMPP, ICC, NEA and SC

Exams officer

- Signposts relevant centre staff to JCQ documents and awarding body documentation relating to the examination/assessment process that have been updated
- Signposts relevant centre staff to JCQ information that must be provided to candidates
- As the centre administrator, approves relevant access rights for centre staff to access awarding body secure extranet sites

Information gathering

Exams officer

- Undertakes an annual information gathering exercise in preparation for each new academic year to ensure data about all qualifications being delivered is up to date and correct
- Collates all information gathered into one central point of reference
- Researches awarding body guidance to identify administrative processes, key tasks, key dates and deadlines for all relevant qualifications
- Produces an annual exams plan of key tasks and key dates to ensure all external deadlines can be effectively met; informs key centre staff of internal deadlines
- (where applicable to the role) Collects information on internal exams/assessments to enable preparation for and conduct of (insert the titles these internal exams/assessments are referred to in the centre)

Senior leaders

- Respond (or ensure teaching staff respond) to requests from the EO on information gathering
- Meet the internal deadline for the return of information
- Inform the EO of any changes to information in a timely manner minimising the risk of late or other penalty fees being incurred by an awarding body
- Note the internal deadlines in the annual exams plan and directs teaching staff to meet these

Access arrangements

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre has documented processes in place relating to access arrangements and reasonable adjustments
- Ensures the SENCo (or equivalent role) is fully supported in effectively implementing access arrangements and reasonable adjustments once approved

SEnCo (or equivalent role)

- Assesses candidates (or works with the appropriately qualified assessor as appointed by the head of centre) to identify access arrangements/reasonable adjustments requirements
- Gathers evidence to support the need for access arrangements for a candidate
- Liaises with teaching staff to gather evidence of normal way of working for a candidate
- Determines candidate eligibility for arrangements or adjustments that are centre-delegated
- Informs relevant candidates that an application for access arrangements will be processed using *Access Arrangements Online (AAO)*, complying with the UK GDPR and the Data Protection Act 2018
- Applies for approval using AAO via the Centre Admin Portal (CAP), where required or through the awarding body where any qualifications sit outside the scope of AAO
- Keeps a file for each candidate for JCQ inspection purposes containing all the required documentation (if documentation is stored electronically, an e-folder must be created for each individual candidate. The candidate's e-folder must hold each of the required documents for inspection)
- Employs good practice in relation to the Equality Act 2010
- Liaises with the EO regarding exam time arrangements for access arrangement candidates

- Ensures staff appointed to facilitate access arrangements for candidates are thoroughly trained and understand the rules of the particular arrangement(s) and keeps a record of the content of training provided to facilitators for the required period
- Works with the EO to ensure invigilators and those acting as a facilitator fully understand the respective role and what is and what is not permissible in the exam room
- Liaises with the relevant member of the senior leadership team on the centre's policy on the use of word processors in examinations
- Ensures criteria for candidates granted alternative rooming arrangements is clear, meets JCQ regulations and best meets the needs of individual candidates and remaining candidates in main exam rooms

Alternative Rooming Arrangements Policy (Exams)

- [SEND.pdf](#)

Senior leaders, Teaching staff

- Support the SENCo (or equivalent role) in determining and implementing appropriate access arrangements/reasonable adjustments
- (Senior leader) Provides an annually reviewed and updated word processor policy, specific to the centre, which details the criteria the centre uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations

Internal assessment and endorsements

Head of centre

Controlled assessments, coursework, non-examination assessments and portfolios of evidence

(GR 5.7)

- Ensures that where candidates are taking non-examination assessments, teaching staff check that the tasks and approach being taken are appropriate and in line with ethical standards and the centre's safeguarding responsibilities
- Ensures awarding bodies are notified of a consortium of centres with joint teaching arrangements for qualifications (This will allow the candidates for each specification to be treated as a single group for the moderation of centre- assessed work. This is only required if two or more member centres will be entering candidates for work that is centre-assessed)
- Ensures only current assessment materials/tasks are used to assess candidates' knowledge and skills (in cases where the awarding body provides such material)
- Before submitting marks to the awarding body ensures candidates are informed of their centre-assessed marks and allows a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking
- Ensures that all associated administrative tasks are completed in an accurate and timely manner, e.g. marks are correctly calculated, recorded and submitted by the published date (It is the responsibility of the centre to carefully check the marks it is submitting to an awarding body)

- Ensures submission of centre-assessed marks and moderation samples, if required by the awarding body, by the published date (It is the responsibility of the centre to ensure that moderators receive the correct samples of work to review)
- Ensures a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions is in place and ensures that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates
- Ensures a written policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments, including controlled assessments and coursework, which includes details on how candidates' work will be authenticated, is in place
- Ensures that where candidates' work is produced electronically it is backed-up and considers the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including one off-site back-up via the Cloud (Implementing appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates' work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks)

Senior Management

- Ensure teaching staff have the necessary and appropriate knowledge, understanding, skills, and training to set tasks, conduct task taking, and to assess, mark and authenticate candidates' work (including where relevant, private candidates)
- Ensure appropriate internal moderation, standardisation and verification processes are in place
- Ensure teaching staff delivering GCE & GCSE specifications and Vocational and Technical Qualifications (which include components of non-examination assessment) follow JCQ Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and the specification provided by the awarding body
- Ensure teaching staff delivering qualifications which include (wholly or in part) units of coursework follow JCQ Instructions for conducting coursework and the specification provided by the awarding body
- Ensure teaching staff inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks as a candidate may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body

Teaching staff

- Ensure appropriate instructions for conducting internal assessment are followed
- Ensure candidates are aware of JCQ and awarding body information for candidates on producing work that is internally assessed (coursework, non-examination assessments, social media) prior to assessments taking place
- Ensure candidates are informed of their centre-assessed marks as a candidate may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body

Exams officer

- Identifies relevant key dates and administrative processes that need to be followed in relation to internal assessment
- Signposts teaching staff to relevant JCQ Information for candidates documents that are annually updated

Invigilation

Head of centre

- Ensures relevant support is provided to the Head of Exams in recruiting, training and deploying a team of invigilators
- Ensures, if contracting supply staff to act as invigilators, that such persons are competent and fully trained, understanding what is and what is not permissible (and not taking on its own an assurance from a recruitment agency, that this is the case)
- Determines if additional invigilators will be deployed in timed Art exams in addition to the subject teacher to ensure the supervision of candidates is maintained at all times
- Ensures that, wherever possible the following individuals are not assigned as invigilators during an examination: a teacher, a teaching assistant, a tutor or a senior member of centre staff who teaches the subject being examined or a learning support assistant who has supported one or more candidates

Head of Examinations

- Recruits additional invigilators where required to effectively cover all exam periods/series throughout the academic year
- Collects information on new recruits to identify if they have invigilated previously and if any current maladministration or malpractice sanctions are applied to them
- Provides thorough training for new invigilators on the current instructions for conducting examinations and an update for the existing invigilation team so that they are aware of any changes in a new academic year, before they are allocated to invigilate an exam
- Ensures invigilators supervising access arrangement candidates understand their role (and the role of a facilitator who may be supporting a candidate) and the rules and regulations of the access arrangement(s)
- Ensures invigilators are briefed on the access arrangement candidates in their exam room and made aware of the access arrangement(s) awarded (ensuring these candidates are identified on the seating plan) and confirms invigilators understand what is and what is not permissible
- Collects evaluation of training to inform future events

Entries and registrations: roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's obligations as detailed in the regulations are met. (With reference to GR 5.6 **Entries and registrations**)

Estimated entries

Head of Examinations

- Requests estimated or early entry information, where this may be required by awarding bodies, from senior leaders (or relevant roles) in a timely manner to ensure awarding body external deadlines for submission can be met

Estimated entries collection and submission procedure

- Estimated entries information is taken from the College's MIS which holds all sentry and timetable information for current pupils.

Heads of Department

- Provide entry information requested by the EO to the internal deadline
- Inform the EO immediately of any subsequent changes to entry information

Final entries

Head of centre

- Ensures appropriate controls are in place which allow accurate data to be submitted to the awarding bodies, e.g. registrations, entries, learner claims

Head of Examinations

- Requests final entry/registration information from senior leaders (or relevant roles) in a timely manner to ensure awarding body external deadlines for submission can be met
- Informs senior leaders of subsequent deadlines for making changes to final entry information without charge
- Submits registrations, examination entries and certification claims by the deadline(s) and complies with the requirements of the specification including any terminal rules which need to be met at the point of certification
- Confirms with Heads of Departments final entry information that has been submitted to awarding bodies
- Ensures as far as possible that entry processes minimise the risk of entries or registrations being missed reducing the potential for late or other penalty fees being charged by awarding bodies
- Observes each awarding body's terms and conditions for the registration, entry and timely withdrawal of candidates for their examinations and assessments, and observes any regulatory requirements for the qualification

Final entries collection and submission procedure

- In the Autumn term the HOE updates the file with course and specification information to ensure that the correct entries are submitted to the awarding bodies.

Heads of Department

- Provide information requested by the EO to the internal deadline
- Inform the EO immediately, or at the very least prior to the deadlines, of any subsequent changes to final entry information, which includes
 - changes to candidate personal details
 - amendments to existing entries
 - withdrawals of existing entries
- Check final entry submission information provided by the EO and confirms information is correct

Entry fees

- Entry fees, amendment fees, late entry fees to be charged to candidates on the bill closest to the examination season. Charge sheets with fees detailed to be sent to Bursary before their deadline.

Late entries

Head of Examinations

- Has clear entry procedures in place to minimise the risk of late entries
- Charges any late or other penalty fees to departmental budgets

Senior leaders

- Minimise the risk of late entries by
 - following procedures identified by the EO in relation to making final entries on time
 - meeting internal deadlines identified by the EO for making final entries

Re-sit entries

Private candidates

- Private candidates are only accepted if they are known to the College and at the Warden's discretion
- Private Candidates cover the costs of their own exams which are billed by Bursary using information provided by the Head of Exams.

Candidate statements of entry

Head of Examinations

- Provides candidates with statements of entry for checking

Teaching staff

- Ensure candidates check statements of entry and return any relevant confirmation required to the EO

Candidates

- Confirm entry information is correct or notify the EO of any discrepancies

Pre-exams: roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's obligations as detailed in the regulations are met (With reference to GR 5.8 **Candidate information**)

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

SENCo (or equivalent role)

- Ensures appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access to exams/assessments for candidates with learning difficulties or disabilities, those for whom English is an additional language and those with a temporary illness or injury
- Ensures a candidate is involved in any decisions about arrangements, adjustments and /or adaptations that may be put in place for them
- Ensures exam information (JCQ information for candidates documents, individual exam timetable, etc.) is adapted where this may be required for a candidate to access it
- Allocates appropriately trained centre staff to facilitate access arrangements for candidates in exams and assessments (ensuring that the facilitator appointed meets JCQ requirements and fully understands the rule of the access arrangement)
- Ensures the person appointed to facilitate an access arrangement must not normally be the candidate's own subject teacher, Learning Support Assistant or teaching assistant (Where the

candidate's own subject teacher, Learning Support Assistant or teaching assistant is used, a separate invigilator must always be present)

- Where relevant, ensures the necessary and appropriate steps are undertaken to gather an appropriate picture of need and demonstrate normal way of working for a private candidate (such as a distance learner or a home educated student) and that the candidate is assessed by the centre's appointed assessor

Briefing candidates

Head of Examinations

- Issues individual exam timetable information to candidates and informs candidates of any designated contingency sessions awarding bodies may identify in the event of national or significant local disruption to exams
- Prior to exams issues relevant JCQ Information for candidates documents (coursework, non-examination assessments, on-screen tests, social media and written examinations) and awarding body privacy notices
- Where relevant, issues relevant awarding body information to candidates
- Issues centre exam information to candidates including information on:
 - exam timetable clashes
 - arriving late for an exam
 - absence or illness during exams
 - what equipment is/is not provided by the centre
 - food and drink in exam rooms
 - unauthorised items in exam rooms
 - when and how results will be issued and the staff that will be available
 - post-results services information and how the centre will deal with requests from candidates
 - when and how certificates will be issued
 -

Dispatch of exam scripts

Head of Examinations

- Identifies and confirms arrangements for the dispatch of candidate exam scripts with the DfE (STA) 'yellow label service' or the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of the service

Estimated grades

HoDs

- Ensure teaching staff provide estimated grade information to the EO by the internal deadline (where this still may be required by the awarding body)

Head of Examinations

- Submits estimated grade information to awarding bodies to meet the external deadline (where this may still be required by the awarding body)
- Keeps a record to track what has been sent

Internal assessment and endorsements

Head of centre

- Ensures procedures are in place for candidates to appeal internal assessment decisions and make requests for reviews of marking

SENCo (or equivalent role)

- Liaises with teaching staff to implement appropriate access arrangements for candidates undertaking internal assessments and practical endorsements

Teaching staff

- Support the SENCo in implementing appropriate access arrangements for candidates undertaking internal assessments and practical endorsements
- Assess and authenticate candidates' work
- Assess endorsed components
- Ensure candidates are informed of centre-assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to awarding bodies

Heads of Department

- Ensure teaching staff assess and authenticate candidates' work to the awarding body requirements
- Ensure teaching staff assess endorsed components according to awarding body requirements
- Ensure teaching staff provide marks for internally assessed components and grades for endorsements of qualifications to the EO to the internal deadline
- Ensure teaching staff provide required samples of work for moderation and sample recordings for monitoring to the EO to the internal deadline

Head of Examinations

- Submits marks, endorsement grades and samples to awarding bodies/moderators/monitors to meet the external deadline (or delegates this task to relevant teaching staff)
- Keeps a record to track what has been sent
- Logs moderated samples returned to the centre
- Ensures teaching staff are aware of the requirements in terms of retention and subsequent disposal of candidates' work

Candidates

- Authenticate their work as required by the awarding body

Invigilation arrangements

Head of Examinations

- Provides an annually reviewed/updated invigilator handbook to invigilators, trains new invigilators on the current regulations on appointment and updates the existing invigilation team on any regulation changes and any changes to centre-specific arrangements
- Deploys invigilators effectively to exam rooms throughout an exam series (including the provision of a roving invigilator where a candidate and invigilator (acting as a practical

assistant, prompter, reader or scribe) are accommodated on a one-to-one basis to enter the room at regular intervals in order to observe the conducting of the exam, ensure all relevant rules are being adhered to and to support the practical assistant/prompter/reader and/or scribe in maintaining the integrity of the exam)

- Allocates invigilators to exam rooms (or where supervising candidates due to a timetable clash) according to the required ratios
- Liaises with the SENCo (or equivalent role) regarding the facilitation and invigilation of access arrangement candidates

SEnCo (or equivalent role)

- Liaises with the EO regarding facilitation and invigilation of access arrangement candidates

Invigilators

- Provide information as requested on their availability to invigilate throughout an exam series
-

JCO Centre Inspections

Head of Examinations or **Senior leader**

- Will accompany the Inspector throughout a visit

SEnCo (or equivalent role) or relevant **Senior leader** (in the absence of the SEnCo)

- Will meet with the inspector when requested to provide documentary evidence regarding access arrangement candidates and address any questions the inspector may raise
- Ensures that information is readily available for inspection at the venue where the candidate is taking the exam(s)

Seating and identifying candidates in exam rooms

Exams officer

- Ensures a procedure is in place to verify the identity of all candidates
- Ensures invigilators are aware of the procedure
- Provides seating plans for exam rooms according to JCQ and awarding body requirements (and ensures candidates with access arrangements are identified on the seating plan and invigilators are informed of those candidates with access arrangements and made aware of the access arrangement(s) awarded)

Candidate Identification Procedure

- Internal Candidates will all have an ID placed on the appropriate desk for each exam. The card has the following information:
 - Photo
 - Candidate Name
 - Candidate Number
- A folder will be in each exam room with up to date pictures of all candidates including their candidate number. This can be used by invigilators to check candidate identity when/if candidates forget their ID cards.
- An invigilator will check the identity of all candidates at the start of each exam.
- External candidates will be required to bring photo ID to all exams either driving licence or passport. This must be checked by the invigilator prior to the candidate taking their seat

Invigilators

- Follow the procedure provided by the EO which details how the identity of all candidates sitting exams will be confirmed
- Seat candidates in exam rooms as instructed by the EO/on the seating plan

Security of exam materials

Exams officer

- Confirms appropriate arrangements are in place to ensure that confidential exam materials are only handed over to authorised members of staff
- Ensures access to the secure room is restricted and staff approved by the head of centre are accompanied by a keyholder at all times
- Has a process in place to demonstrate the receipt, secure movement and secure storage of confidential exam materials within the centre
- Ensures a log is kept at the initial point of delivery recording confidential materials received and signed for by authorised staff within the centre and that appropriate arrangements are in place for confidential materials to be immediately transferred to the secure storage facility until they can be removed from the dispatch packaging and checked in the secure room before being returned to the secure storage facility in timetable order
- Carefully checks question paper packets when they are removed from the dispatch packaging and keeps a log of the check
- Ensures the secure storage facility contains only current and live confidential material (ensuring that past examination question papers, internal tests and mock examinations are not kept in the centre's secure storage facility)
- Ensures that examination stationery, e.g. answer booklets and formula booklets are stored in the secure room (attempting to store this material in the secure storage facility, when sufficient space allows)
- Ensures the integrity and security of any electronic question paper materials is maintained during the downloading, printing and collating process (ensuring printing is carried out in a secure environment at the centre to prevent unauthorised personnel accessing live assessment materials and ensuring only authorised members of centre staff have access to electronic question paper materials)
- A minimum of two and a maximum of six members of centre staff should be authorised to handle secure electronic materials, one of whom must be the exams officer. Other members of centre staff may assist with printing and collation provided they are under supervision.
- Ensures that the question paper is printed correctly, is of good quality and is collated in the right order

Lodge staff

- Follow the process to log confidential materials delivered to/received by the centre to the point materials are issued to authorised staff for transferal to the secure storage facility

Teaching staff

- Adhere to the process to record the secure movement of confidential materials taken from or returned to secure storage throughout the time the material is confidential

Timetabling and rooming

Head of Examinations

- Produces a master centre exam timetable for each exam series
- Identifies and resolves candidate exam timetable clashes according to the regulations (only applying overnight supervision arrangements as a last resort, once all other options have been exhausted and according to the centre's policy)

Overnight Supervision Arrangements Policy

- Overnight supervision and therefore moving an examination paper to the following morning will only be undertaken as a last resort.
- Deputy head (systems) Roger Shaw and head of exams will decide on the best course of action for students requiring overnight supervision in the summer season as soon as possible after entries have been submitted.
- The JCQ Overnight Supervision and Overnight Supervision Declaration forms must be completed before the overnight supervision is to commence. The JCQ Overnight Supervision form is completed online using the Centre Admin Portal (CAP). The JCQ Overnight Supervision Declaration form is downloaded from the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) for signing by the candidate, the supervisor and the head of centre.
- Identifies exam rooms and specialist equipment requirements
- Allocates invigilators to exam rooms (or where supervising candidates due to an exam timetable clash) according to required ratios
- Liaises with site staff to ensure exam rooms are set up according to JCQ and awarding body requirements
- Liaises with the SENCo regarding rooming of access arrangement candidates

SENCo

- Liaises with the EO regarding rooming of access arrangement candidates
- Liaises with other relevant centre staff to ensure appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for disabled candidates to exams

Site staff / Head of Sports Centre

- Liaise with the EO to ensure exam rooms are set up according to JCQ and awarding body requirements

Alternative site arrangements

Head of Examinations

- (Where/if applicable to the centre) Ensures question papers will only be taken to an alternative site where the published criteria for an alternative site arrangement has been met
- Will inform the JCQ Centre Inspection Service to timescale by submitting a JCQ Alternative Site form online using CAP (or through the awarding body where a qualification may sit outside the scope of CAP) of any alternative sites that will be used to conduct timetabled examination components of the qualifications listed in the JCQ regulations

School/Mock Exams

Head of Examinations

- Prepares for the conduct of internal exams/assessments under external conditions (where applicable to the centre)
- Provides a centre exam timetable of subjects and rooms
- Provides seating plans for exam rooms
- Requests internal exam papers from teaching staff
- Arranges invigilation (where applicable to the centre)

SENCo (or equivalent role)

- Liaises with teaching staff to make appropriate arrangements for access arrangement candidates

Teaching staff

- Provide exam papers and materials to the HoE
- Support the SENCo in making appropriate arrangements for access arrangement candidates

Exam time: roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's obligations as detailed in the regulations are met. (With reference to GR 5.9 **Conducting examinations and assessments**)

Access arrangements

Head of Examinations

- Provides cover sheets for access arrangement candidates' scripts where required for particular arrangements
- Has a process in place to deal with emergency/temporary access arrangements as they arise at the time of exam
 - Liaises with the SENCo to apply for approval through AAO where required or through the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of AAO

Candidate absence/Late arrival

Candidate Absence/late arrival Policy

- As soon as an exam has started the candidates will be checked against the seating plan
- Invigilator to alert HOE to any absentees
- HOE will then contact Head of Social and PHM to locate candidate.
- If in Medical Centre HOE will discuss whether appropriate for candidate to sit the exam
- If a candidate arrives late invigilator will allow the candidate, the full time permitted for the exam noting the start and end times on the board for that candidate. They will also alert the HOE that the candidate has arrived.
- If necessary, a 'very late arrival' will be reported through JCQ CAP
- HOE warns candidates, after the exam, that their script may not be accepted by the awarding body
- Special considerations will be applied for if necessary

Invigilators

- Are informed of the policy/process for dealing with absent/late candidates through training
- Ensure that confirmed absent candidates are clearly marked as such on the attendance register and seating plan

Candidates

- Are re-charged relevant entry fees for unauthorised absence from exams

Conducting Exams

Head of centre

- Ensures venues used for conducting exams meet the requirements of JCQ and awarding bodies

Head of Examinations

- Ensures exams are conducted according to JCQ and awarding body instructions
- Uses an *exam day checklist* to ensure each exam session is fully prepared for, unplanned events can be dealt with, and associated follow-up is completed

Dispatch of exam scripts

Exams officer

- Dispatches scripts as instructed by JCQ and awarding bodies
- Keeps appropriate records to track dispatch

Exam papers and materials

Exams officer

- Organises exam question papers and associated confidential resources in date order in the secure storage facility
- Attaches erratum notices received to relevant sealed question paper packets
- Collates attendance registers and examiner details in date order
- Regularly checks mail or email inbox for updates from awarding bodies
- In order to avoid potential breaches of security, ensures care is taken to ensure the correct question paper packets are opened by ensuring a member of centre staff, additional to the person removing the papers from secure storage, e.g. an invigilator, checks the day, date, time, subject, unit/component and tier of entry, if appropriate, immediately before a question paper packet is opened
- Ensures this second pair of eyes check is recorded
- Ensures question papers are always kept in their sealed packets until the second pair of eyes check and log have been completed
- Ensures the second pair of eyes check takes place immediately before each question paper packet is opened in the designated examination room
- If the question paper packet needs to be split for different rooms on one or more sites or for an access arrangement, ensures the check takes place in the secure room
- Ensures unused question papers are not released to any individual until 24 hours after the awarding body's published finishing time for the examination (Where a candidate is sitting an examination scheduled for the afternoon session on the following morning under an overnight

supervision arrangement, unused question papers for that examination must not be released to any individual until the candidate has completed that examination)

Exam rooms

Head of centre

- Ensures that internal tests, mock exams, revision or coaching sessions are not conducted in a room 'designated' as an exam room
- Ensures that when a room is 'designated' as an exam room it is not used for any purpose other than conducting external exams
- Ensures only approved centre staff (who have not taught the subject being examined) are present in exam rooms to perform permitted tasks
- Ensures the centre's policy relating to food and drink that may be allowed in exam rooms is clearly communicated to candidates
- Ensures the centre's policy on candidates leaving the exam room temporarily is clearly communicated to candidates

Food and Drink Policy (Exams)

- Candidates are permitted to have water in exams. This must be in a clear bottle, ideally sports bottle, with no labels
- Candidates with medical conditions e.g. diabetes, as identified on the seating plan, will be permitted snacks in an exam hall if required. These must be free from packaging etc.
- When exams last for 3 hours or longer, at the HOE discretion, snacks may be provided, such as bananas or cereal bars, in transparent packaging

Leaving the Examination Room Policy

- Candidates are required to remain in the exam room for the full duration of their exam
Exceptions as follows:
 - Candidates with extra time as indicated on seating plan will be permitted to leave during their extra time as long as they are certain they have completed and handed their exam paper to an invigilator. They will sign a slip to confirm the above.
 - Candidates with rest breaks as indicated on the seating plan may be permitted to leave the exam room supervised by an invigilator – timings will be recorded on the checklist/incident log
 - If a candidate requires the toilet they will be accompanied by the roving invigilator or, if in the Barker Gym, will use the toilet at the back of the room. This will be recorded on the checklist/incident log.
 - No additional time will be added to the exam time for toilet breaks unless there is a provision through AA due to a pre-existing medical condition.
 - If a candidate becomes unwell the invigilator will alert the HoE who may then remove the candidate from the room. If this is before the official time for leaving an exam, as detailed in JCQ ICE regulations, the candidate will remain under supervision with no access to items as detailed on 'unauthorised items poster'

Head of Examinations

- Ensures exam rooms are set up and conducted as required in the regulations
- Provides invigilators with appropriate resources to effectively conduct exams

- Briefs invigilators on exams to be conducted on a session-by-session basis (including the arrangements in place for any transferred candidates and access arrangement candidates)
- Ensures sole invigilators have an appropriate means of summoning assistance (if this is a mobile phone, instructs the invigilator that the mobile phone is only allowed to be used for this specific purpose and that it must be kept on silent mode)
- Ensures invigilators understand they must be vigilant and remain aware of incidents or emerging situations, looking out for malpractice or candidates who may be in distress, recording any incidents or issues on the exam room incident log
- Ensures invigilators understand how to deal with candidates who may need to leave the exam room temporarily and how this should be recorded on the exam room incident log
- Provides authorised exam materials which candidates are not expected to provide themselves
- Ensures invigilators and candidates are aware of the emergency evacuation procedure
- Ensures invigilators are aware of arrangements in place for a candidate with a disability who may need assistance if an exam room is evacuated

Senior Management

- Ensure a documented emergency evacuation procedure for exam rooms is in place
- Ensure arrangements are in place for a candidate with a disability who may need assistance if an exam room is evacuated
- Ensure a procedure is in place in case of an emergency evacuation (lockdown)

Emergency Evacuation Policy (Exams)

Appendix d

Lockdown Policy (Exams)

Appendix h

Site staff/Head of Sports Centre

- Ensure exam rooms are available and set up as requested by the EO
- Ensure grounds or centre maintenance work does not disturb exam candidates in exam rooms
- Ensure fire alarm testing does not take place during exam sessions

Invigilators

- Conduct exams in every exam room according to *JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations* and/or awarding body requirements and as instructed by the centre in training/update and briefing sessions

Candidates

- Are required to follow the instructions given to them in exam rooms by authorised centre staff and invigilators
- Are required to remain in the exam room for the full duration of the exam

Irregularities

Head of centre

- Ensures (as required by an awarding body) any cases of alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration before, during or after examinations/assessments (by

centre staff, candidates, invigilators) are investigated and reported to the awarding body **immediately**, by completing the appropriate documentation

Senior Management

- Ensure support is provided for the EO and invigilators when dealing with disruptive candidates in exam rooms
- Ensure that internal disciplinary procedures relating to candidate behaviour are instigated, when appropriate

Exams officer

- Provides an exam room incident log in all exam rooms for recording any incidents or irregularities
- Actions any required follow-up and reports to awarding bodies as soon as practically possible after the exam has taken place

Invigilators

- Record any incidents or irregularities on the exam room incident log (for example, late/very late arrival, candidate or centre staff suspected malpractice, candidate illness or needing to leave the exam room temporarily, disruption or disturbance in the exam room, emergency evacuation)

Malpractice

See *Irregularities* above.

Special consideration

Senior leaders

- Support eligible applications for special consideration by authorising appropriate evidence

Exams officer

- Processes eligible applications for special consideration to awarding bodies
- Gathers evidence which may need to be provided by other staff in centre or candidates
- Submits requests to awarding bodies to the external deadline

Special Consideration Policy

Appendix e

Candidates

- Provide appropriate evidence to support special consideration applications, where required

Unauthorised items

Arrangements for unauthorised items taken into the exam room

- Candidates are informed, at the 'candidates briefing' held just before/after the Easter holiday, what is permitted /not permitted in public examinations.
- JCQ posters and candidate information is sent to all candidates and parents in January along with timetable information.
- At the start of all examinations, candidates are reminded to check for unauthorised items. Any that have been brought into the examination room accidentally will be taken to the exams office for candidates to collect at the end of the exam.

- Any candidate found to be in possession of an unauthorised item during an exam will have the item removed. The incident will be logged and the HoE will be informed. The candidate will continue the exam. HoE will contact HoC regarding possible malpractice and will follow the malpractice guidelines as detailed by JCQ

Invigilators

- Are informed of the arrangements through training

Internal exams/assessments

Exams officer

- Briefs invigilators on conducting internal exams
- Returns candidate scripts to teaching staff for marking

Invigilators

- Conduct internal exams as briefed by the HoE

Results and post-results: roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's obligations as detailed in the regulations are met. (With reference to GR 5.12 **Results**, 5.13 **Post-results services and appeals**, 5.14 **Certificates**)

Internal assessment

Senior Management

Ensures teaching staff keep candidates' work, whether part of the moderation sample or not, secure and for the required period stated by JCQ and awarding bodies

Ensures work is returned to candidates after the retention period or disposed of according to the requirements

Managing results day(s)

Senior Management

- Identify centre staff who will be involved in the main summer results day(s) and their role
- Ensure senior members of staff are accessible to candidates immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed and decisions made on the submission of any requests for post-results services and ensure candidates are informed of the periods during which centre staff will be available so that they may plan accordingly

Head of Examinations

Results Day Programme

- Results are uploaded to My School Portal by the HoE for publication at the times set by awarding bodies

Accessing results

Head of centre

- Ensures results are kept entirely confidential and restricted to key members of staff until the official dates and times of release of results to candidates

- Understands that it is not permitted to withhold provisional results from candidates under any circumstances

Head of Examinations

- Informs candidates in advance of when and how results will be released to them for each exam series
- Accesses results from awarding bodies under restricted release of results, where this is provided by the awarding body
- Resolves any missing or incomplete results with awarding bodies
- Issues statements of results to candidates on issue of results date
- Provides summaries of results for relevant centre staff on issue of results date

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Ensures an **internal appeals procedure** is available where candidates disagree with any centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- Ensures that senior members of centre staff are available immediately after the publication of results
- Understands that if the centre has concerns about one of its component/subject cohorts, then requests for reviews of marking should be submitted for all candidates believed to be affected (candidate consent is required as marks and subject grades may be lowered, confirmed or raised)

Head of Examinations

- Provides information to candidates and staff on the services provided by awarding bodies and the fees charged (see also above **Briefing candidates** and **Access to Scripts, Reviews of Results and Appeals Procedures**)
- Publishes internal deadlines for requesting the services to ensure the external deadlines can be effectively met
- Provides a process to record requests for services and to collect candidate informed consent (**after** the publication of results) and fees where relevant
- Submits requests to awarding bodies to meet the external deadline for the particular service
- Tracks requests to conclusion and informs candidates and relevant centre staff of outcomes
- Updates centre results information, where applicable

Heads of Department

- Meet internal deadlines to request the services and gain relevant candidate informed consent

Candidates

- Meet internal deadlines to request the services
- Provide informed consent and fees, where relevant

Analysis of results

Head of Examinations

- Provides analysis of results to appropriate centre staff

- Provides results information to external organisations where required
- Undertakes the DfE School and College Checking Exercises (where applicable to the centre)
<https://check-your-performance-measures-data.education.gov.uk/hc/en-gb>

Certificates

Certificates are provided to centres by awarding bodies after results have been confirmed.

Certificate Issue Procedure and Retention Policy

- A Level Certificates will be posted to all candidates as close to end of the calendar year as possible.
- GCSE/IGCSE certificates will be available for collection from Radley exams office on the last Friday of the Lent term prior to February Leave Away from 09:00 – 12:00.

Candidates may arrange for certificates to be collected on their behalf by providing the HoE with written or email permission/authorisation; authorised persons must provide ID evidence on collection of certificates

Certificate Retention Policy

- Any certificates not collected or returned undelivered will be kept in the exams office for up to three years. Any remaining thereafter will be passed to the Foundations team who will keep them on the candidates file should an enquiry be made for them in the future

Exams review: roles and responsibilities

Exams officer

- Provides SLT with an overview of the exam year, highlighting what went well and what could be developed/improved in terms of exams management and administrative processes within the stages of the exam cycle
- Collects and evaluates feedback from staff, candidates and invigilators to inform an exams review


Senior leaders

- Work with the EO to produce a plan to action any required improvements identified in the review

Retention of records: roles and responsibilities

Exams officer

- Keeps records as required by JCQ and awarding bodies for the required period
- Keeps records as required by the centre's records management policy
- Provides an exams archiving policy that identifies information held, retention period and method of disposal



RADLEY

Complaints (Exams)

December 2025

Key staff involved in the complaints and appeals procedure

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	John Moule
Head of Examinations	Louise Vaan
Deputy Head systems	Roger Shaw
SENCo	Lil Pullen

Purpose of the policy

This policy confirms Radley College's compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (sections 5.3, 5.8) in draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers its written complaints policy which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or his/her/parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer via head of examinations to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*

Access arrangements and special considerations

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed candidate personal data consent form)
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during exam/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment
- Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer via head of examinations to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (on-screen) exam/assessment
- Disruption during exam/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by the awarding body.

Results and Post-results

- Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of a review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via head of exams to awarding body *post-results services*)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via deputy head (systems) to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Raising a concern/ complaint

If a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification he/she is following, Radley College encourages him/her to try to resolve this informally in the first instance. e.g. A concern or complaint should be made in person, by telephone or in writing to the Social Tutor in the first instance.

If a complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint. In accordance with Stage 2 of the College's Complaints Procedure [Complaints.pdf](#)

Appeals

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted as detailed in stage 3 of the complaints policy


Complaints and appeals log

On receipt, all complaints/appeals are assigned a reference number and logged. Outcome and outcome date is also recorded.

Date received	Complaint or Appeal	Outcome	Outcome date

Radley College complaints procedure

<https://www.radley.org.uk/userfiles/rcmvc/Documents/Policies/Warden/Complaints.pdf>



RADLEY

Contingency Plan (Exams)

December 2025

Key staff involved in contingency planning

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre	John Moule
Deputy Head (Systems)	Roger Shaw
Head of Exams	Louise Vaan
Deputy Head (Academic)	Jonathan Porter
SENCo	Lil Pullen

Purpose of the plan

This plan examines potential risks and issues that could cause disruption to the exams process at Radley College. By outlining actions/procedures to be invoked in case of disruption it is intended to mitigate the impact these disruptions have on our exam process.

Alongside internal processes, this plan is informed by the *Exam system contingency plan: England, Wales and Northern Ireland* which provides guidance in the publication *What schools and colleges and other centres should do if exams or other assessments are seriously disrupted*, the **JCQ Joint Contingency Plan** for the Examination System in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and the JCQ document *Preparing for the disruption to examinations*.

This plan also confirms Radley College's compliance with the JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.3) that the centre has in place:

- a written examination contingency plan which covers all aspects of examination administration. This will allow members of the senior management team to act immediately in the event of an emergency where the head centre (Warden), Head of exams or SENco is absent at a critical stage for examination cycle. The examination contingency plan should reinforce procedures in the event of the centre being unavailable for examinations, or on results day, owing to an unforeseen emergency. The potential impact of a cyber-attack should also be considered.

National centre number register and other information requirements

The Warden will also ensure that Radley College as a contingency to enable the prompt handling of urgent issues only, responds to the awarding bodies' request for information regarding the contact details of a senior member of staff (which might include a personal mobile number and/or e-mail address). This will ensure that any urgent matters which might adversely affect candidates which arise outside of term time and which potentially put qualification awards at risk, can be addressed by the awarding bodies with the support of that member of staff. The Warden should ensure that this member of staff has the necessary authority to mobilise resources to provide this support which might include resolving issues within the centre itself.

The Warden absent at a critical stage of the exam cycle

Where the Warden may absent at a critical stage of the examination cycle, main duties and responsibilities will be escalated in accordance with the centre's written escalation process as detailed in the examinations policy under internal governance arrangements and appendix g.

Possible causes of disruption to the exam process

Head of Examinations extended absence

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Key tasks required in the management and administration of the exam cycle not undertaken including:

Planning

- *annual data collection exercise not undertaken to collate information on qualifications and awarding body specifications being delivered*
- *annual exams plan not produced identifying essential key tasks, key dates and deadlines*
- *sufficient invigilators not recruited*

Entries

- *awarding bodies not being informed of early/estimated entries which prompts release of early information required by teaching staff*
- *candidates not being entered with awarding bodies for external exams/assessment*
- *awarding body entry deadlines missed or late or other penalty fees being incurred*

Pre-exams

- *invigilators not trained or updated on changes to instructions for conducting exams*
- *exam timetabling, rooming allocation; and invigilation schedules not prepared*
- *candidates not briefed on exam timetables and awarding body information for candidates*
- *confidential exam/assessment materials and candidates' work not stored under required secure conditions*
- *internal assessment marks and samples of candidates' work not submitted to awarding bodies/external moderators*

Exam time

- *exams/assessments not taken under the conditions prescribed by awarding bodies*
- *required reports/requests not submitted to awarding bodies during exam/assessment periods, for example very late arrival, suspected malpractice, special consideration*
- *candidates' scripts not dispatched as required for marking to awarding bodies*

Results and post-results

- *access to examination results affecting the distribution of results to candidates*
- *the facilitation of the post-results services*

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- In the event of long term or extended absence of the head of exams, Judith Geddes, the deputy head of exams, will undertake tasks with support from deputy head-systems, Roger Shaw, who has previous experience of running exams and is line manager for the head of exams. Support will also be given from deputy head – academic, Jonathan Porter
- Ensure that the deputy head of exams has undertaken training in the exam process to ensure a good overview of the processes throughout the year.
- The lead invigilator, Philippa Johnson, may also be called upon to help with administration tasks as required.
- In the unlikely event that all the above are unavailable the Head of Centre, John Moule, will undertake the management of the exam process.

SENCo extended absence

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Key tasks required in the management and administration of the access arrangements process within the exam cycle not undertaken including:

Planning

- *candidates not tested/assessed to identify potential access arrangement requirements*

- *centre fails to recognise its duties towards disabled candidates as defined under the terms of the Equality Act 2010*
- *evidence of need and evidence to support normal way of working not collated*

Pre-exams

- *approval for access arrangements not applied for to the awarding body*
- *centre-delegated arrangements not put in place*
- *modified paper requirements not identified in a timely manner to enable ordering to meet external deadline*
- *staff (facilitators) providing support to access arrangement candidates not allocated and trained*

Exam time

- *access arrangement candidate support not arranged for exam rooms*

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- In the event of long term or extended absence of the SENCo, Lil Pullen, the tasks above will be undertaken by the Deputy SENCo with support from Senior Management team as necessary; in particular Roger Shaw, deputy head-systems who is the line manager for the SENCo

Teaching staff extended absence

Criteria for implementation of the plan

- *Key tasks not undertaken including:*
- *Early/estimated entry information not provided to the head of exams on time;*
 - *resulting in pre-release information not being received*
- *Final entry information not provided to the head of exams on time;*
 - *resulting in candidates not being entered for exams/assessments or being entered late/late or other penalty fees being charged by awarding bodies*
- *Non-examination assessment tasks not set/issued/taken by candidates as scheduled*
- *Candidates not being informed of centre assessed marks before marks are submitted to the awarding body and therefore not being able to consider appealing internal assessment decisions and requesting a review of the centre's marking*
- *Internal assessment marks and candidates' work not provided to meet awarding body submission deadlines*

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- The key tasks listed above are the responsibility of subject department heads. In the absence of a HOD a deputy will be appointed by the Head of Centre, John Moule in consultation with the deputy head – Academic, Jonathan Porter.
- The Head of Exams will assist and support with the key tasks listed above

Invigilators - lack of appropriately trained invigilators or invigilator absence

Criteria for implementation of the plan

- *Failure to recruit and train sufficient invigilators to conduct exams*
- *Invigilator shortage on peak exam days*
- *Invigilator absence on the day of an exam*

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- A team of invigilators undertakes invigilation of public exams. During the Michaelmas term, new invigilators will be appointed as necessary to cope with increase in candidate numbers, ability to facilitate Access Arrangements and invigilator resignation.
- Absence of invigilators will be covered in the first instance by other members of the invigilation team and or head of exams and in extreme circumstances teaching staff will be used, being careful to ensure that they do not teach the subject being examined.
- Advance planning and timetabling by the Head of Exams and understanding of peak times will help to mitigate any shortage of invigilators.

Exam rooms - lack of appropriate rooms or main venues unavailable at short notice

Criteria for implementation of the plan

- *Head of exams unable to identify sufficient/appropriate rooms during exams timetable planning*
- *Insufficient rooms available on peak exam days*
- *Main exam venues unavailable due to an unexpected incident at exam time*

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- There is a designated Sports Hall (Barker Gym) used for exams at Radley College. Its use as an examination hall has priority during exam seasons. The Head of Examinations ensures it is booked well in advance of an exam season. It can hold up to 220 candidates.
- There are also IT rooms which are available to exams for students who type, again these are booked well in advance of all public exams.
- Small rooms for students with Access Arrangements are available adjacent to the Library and will be booked by the HoE/Deputy HoE as needed.
- Should any of the above rooms not be available on the day then exams would be moved to other venues in the school. There is a Leisure Centre, which could be used as a main venue, and a suite of classrooms could be utilised for exams if necessary.
- We have in place a reciprocal agreement with another school in the local area to use their facilities. A printed signed copy of this agreement is kept in the exams office. Also see appendix (l)

Cyber-attack

If a cyber attack was localised to Radley College the Head of exams is able to access all files, provided they have not been corrupted through the cyber-attack, from home.

- All information from examination entries in the MIS is backed up to OneDrive
- A2C can be accessed from Head of exams laptop therefore results/entries etc. can be imported/uploaded into the MIS/awarding bodies, if it is viable.

- Results and entry information is all held on secure exam body websites which are accessible from any device with the correct username and password.
- Awarding bodies would be informed of any concerns regarding a cyber attack at critical times to the exam year.
 - To mitigate potential issues entries etc. to be made and uploaded well ahead of the awarding bodies deadlines.
- Further, more detailed information on how Radley College is prepared and tries to prevent/mitigate the impact of cyber-attacks occurring can be requested in hard copy from the head of exams. (for JCQ inspection purposes only)

Failure of IT systems

Criteria for implementation of the plan

- *MIS system failure at final entry deadline*
- *MIS system failure during exams preparation*
- *MIS system failure at results release time*

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- Radley College uses the MIS 'ISAMs' which is regularly backed up.
- The Head of Exams will ensure entries are made well before the deadline to allow for any problems with the MIS system to be resolved
- At results time, if there was an unsolvable problem with the MIS system the Head of Exams would download statements of results directly from the awarding bodies for distribution to the candidates. This could if, necessary, take place at another venue.
- The Head of Exams is able to download results from home if necessary; all appropriate software for this is on a desk top computer at the Head of Exams' house..

Emergency evacuation of the exam room (or centre lockdown)

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Whole centre evacuation (or lock down) during exam time due to serious incident resulting in exam candidates being unable to start, proceed with or complete their exams

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- In the event of Radley College being shut down due to an emergency e.g. bomb threat the awarding body would be contacted immediately for advice and guidance.
- If possible, we would use alternative venues in agreement with relevant awarding bodies.
- Radley College ensures all parents are made aware in advance of the contingency period for exams in the summer season
- More information contained in Lockdown policy appendix (h)
- We have in place a reciprocal agreement with another school in the local area to use their facilities. A printed signed copy of this agreement is kept in the exams office. Also see appendix (l)

Disruption of teaching time – centre closed for an extended period

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Centre closed or candidates are unable to attend for an extended period during normal teaching or study supported time, interrupting the provision of normal teaching and learning

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- Notify parents and pupils of school closure and possible duration.
- Inform parents and pupils about how online learning will take place
- Teaching staff to communicate with their classes via email/Teams
- Utilisation of online learning and testing

Candidates may not be able to take examinations – centre remains open

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Candidates are unable to attend the examination centre to take examinations as normal

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- The Head of Exams will communicate with the relevant awarding body at the outset to make them aware of the situation. Radley will communicate with parents, carers and candidates regarding solutions to the problem.
- The Head of Exams will liaise with candidates to identify whether the examination can be sat at an alternative venue in agreement with the relevant awarding body.
- Apply to awarding body for special consideration for candidates where they have met the minimum requirements.
- There is a separate Sports Centre which is part of the school and could be used for exams in an emergency
- We have in place a reciprocal agreement with another school in the local area to use their facilities. A printed signed copy of this agreement is kept in the exams office. Also see appendix (l)

Centre may not be able open as normal during the exams period (including in the event of the centre being unavailable for examinations owing to an unforeseen emergency)

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Centre unable to open as normal for scheduled examinations

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- Radley College will inform each awarding body which examinations are due to be taken as soon as possible
- Open for examinations and examination candidates only, if possible
- Would use Radley Sports Centre hall as an alternative venue.
- An agreement has been made with John Mason school to use their premises as an alternative venue if it is impossible to use Radley College.

- Apply to awarding body for special consideration for candidates where they have met the minimum requirements.

Disruption in the distribution of examination papers

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Disruption to the distribution of examination papers to the centre in advance of examinations

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- Head of Exams to ensure all papers are logged on receipt, secured in secure storage facility and packets checked carefully to ensure the correct number have been dispatched.
- Head of Exams to contact the awarding body regarding any discrepancies immediately.
- awarding organisations to provide centres with electronic access to examination papers via a secure external network. Radley College would need to ensure that copies are received, made and stored under secure conditions and should have plans in place to facilitate such an action. Awarding organisations would provide guidance on the conduct of examinations in such circumstances. The Head of Exams and Deputy Head of Exams have permissions to download papers from awarding bodies.
- as a last resort, and in close collaboration with centres and regulators, awarding organisations to consider scheduling of the examination on an alternative date

Disruption to the transportation of completed examination scripts

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Delay in normal collection arrangements for completed examination scripts

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- Completed exam scripts to be kept in the secure storage facility overnight if Parcelforce does not collect them on the day of the exam.
- All packed papers will be logged on the Parcelforce dispatch log and an internal exams log as a cross reference to ensure all papers are dispatched correctly
- for any examinations where Radley College make their own arrangements for transportation, they will investigate alternative dispatch options that comply with the requirements detailed in the JCQ Instructions for Conducting Examinations.
- Only Head of Exams, Deputy Head of Exams, Lead Invigilator will pack and log the collection of scripts.

Assessment evidence is not available to be marked

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Large scale damage to or destruction of completed examination scripts/assessment evidence before it can be marked

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- Head of Centre, JS Moule, will communicate immediately with the awarding organisation if scripts or assessments are damaged or destroyed
- Head of Centre will also communicate with pupils and their parents after receiving advice from the awarding organisation
- Where electronic versions of assessments are available see if these are acceptable to the awarding organisation


Centre unable to distribute results as normal (including in the event of the centre being unavailable on results day owing to an unforeseen emergency)

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Centre is unable to access or manage the distribution of results to candidates, or to facilitate post-results services

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- Radley College will contact the awarding organisations and make use of secure websites to print statements of results
- Head of Examinations can access results remotely via A2C
- Social Tutors will contact pupils by phone to distribute results.



RADLEY

Internal Appeals Procedure

December 2025

Appeals relating to internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

Certain GCSE, IGCSE, GCE and other qualifications contain components of non-examination assessment (or units of coursework) which are internally assessed (marked) by Radley College and internally standardised.

The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

The centre will not know the grade boundaries for the marks until results are published in August. Therefore, they are unable to say that a candidate has been given a mark that equates to a particular grade level.

The moderation process carried out by the awarding body may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is in line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional.

This procedure confirms Radley College's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.7) that the centre will:

- have in place and be available for inspection purposes, a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates
- before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking

Deadlines for the submission of marks to awarding bodies

Date	Qualification	Awarding body	Exam series
10/1/26	EPQ	Pearson	January-2026
15/5/26	GCE/EPQ	Pearson, OCR, AQA, WJEC	Summer-2026
15/5/26	GCSE/IGCSE	Pearson, AQA, OCR	Summer-2026
31/5/26	AS, A Level Art	OCR, AQA	Summer-2026

Radley College is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity. Radley College is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where a number of subject teachers are involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of his/her work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the marking standards to his/her marking, then he/she may make use of the appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

Radley College will:

1. Ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.

This will happen by:

Qualification	Series	Candidates informed of marks by
EPQ	EPQ January 2026	Monday 1 December 2025
GCSE, IGCSE, A Level and EPQ	Summer 2026	Friday 1 May
GCSE Art	Summer 2026	Saturday 2 May
A and AS Art	Summer 2026	Friday 16 May
GCSE and A Level Design	Summer 2026	Wednesday 6 May

2. Inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of work submitted.
3. Inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (generally as a minimum, a copy of the marked assessment material (work) and the mark scheme or assessment criteria plus additional materials which may vary from subject to subject) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment
4. Having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate (or for some marked assessment materials, such as artwork and recordings, inform the candidate that the originals will be shared under supervised conditions) within 3 calendar days.
5. Inform candidates they will not be allowed access to original assessment material unless supervised
6. Provide candidates with sufficient time to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision, informing candidates that if their decision is to request a review, they will need to explain what they believe the issue to be.
7. Provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking. Requests will not be accepted after this deadline. Requests must be made to Head of Exams who will provide the candidate with the appropriate form.

8. Deadline for requests:

EPQ January 2026	Friday 6 December 2025	noon
A Level Geography summer 2026	Tuesday 24th March	9am
GCSE Art summer 2026	Thursday 7th May	noon
GCSE, IGCSE, A Level and EPQ summer 2026	Thursday 7th May -12:00	noon
DT GCSE and A Level summer 2026	Saturday 9 May - 12:00	noon
A and AS Level Art summer 2026	Tuesday 20 May – 12:00	noon

9. The college will allow three calendar days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of marks. All reviews to be completed by:

EPQ January 2026	Friday 9 th January 2026
GCSE Art summer 2026	Monday 11 th May noon
GCSE, IGCSE, A Level and EPQ summer 2026	Monday 11 th May noon
DT GCSE and A Level summer 2026	Saturday 9 May - noon
A and AS Level Art summer 2026	Tuesday 20 May – noon

10. Ensure that the review of marking is conducted by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate for the component in question and has no personal interest in the outcome of the review
11. Instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre
12. Inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking

The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the Warden, who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body. A written record of the review will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request.

The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review.

Appeals relating to centre decisions not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

This procedure confirms Radley College's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** that the centre will:

- have available for inspection purposes and draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers, a written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. Full details of these services, internal deadlines for requesting a service and fees charged are provided by the head of exams.

Candidates are also made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results. Candidates are also informed of the periods during which senior members of centre staff will be available/accessible immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking. Candidates are made aware of the post results services through the examinations page on the school website. All exam candidates are sent an email with a link directing them to the information.

If the centre or a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, post-results services may be considered.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.

Reviews of Results (RoRs):

- Service 1 (Clerical re-check)
This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests)
- Service 2 (Review of marking)
- Priority Service 2 (Review of marking)
This service is available for externally assessed components of GCE A-level specifications (an individual awarding body may also offer this priority service for other qualifications)
- Service 3 (Review of moderation)
This service is not available to an individual candidate.

Access to Scripts (ATS):

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, the centre will look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information etc. when made available by the awarding body to determine if the centre supports any concerns.

For written components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

1. Where a place a university or college is at risk, consider advising the candidate to request a Priority Service 2 review of marking
2. In all other instances, consider accessing the script by:
 - a) (where the service is made available by the awarding body) requesting a priority copy of the candidate's script to support a review of marking by the awarding body deadline or
 - b) (where the option is made available by the awarding body) viewing the candidate's marked script online to consider if requesting a review of marking is appropriate
3. Collect informed written consent/permission from the candidate to access his/her script. This will be through a Microsoft form accessible through the Radley College website.
4. On access to the script, consider if it is felt that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly in the original marking and if the centre considers there are any errors in the marking
5. Advise the candidate to consider a RoR service (clerical re-check or review of marking) if any error is identified
6. Collect informed written consent from the candidate to request the RoR service before the request is submitted
7. Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a university or college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body.

Written candidate consent is required in all cases before a request for a RoR service 1 or 2 (including priority service 2) is submitted to the awarding body. Consent is required to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded. Candidate consent must only be collected after the publication of results.

For any moderated components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

- Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation
- Consult the moderator's report/feedback to identify any issues raised
- Determine if the centre's internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body – if this is the case, a RoR service 3 (Review of moderation) will not be available
- Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for the work of all candidates in the original sample.

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a review of moderation, the centre will:

- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (RoR service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample

If the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of moderation, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre by completing the 'internal appeals form' at least 5 calendar days prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review of results.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of his/her appeal for example, before the internal deadline for submitting a RoR.

Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications Post-Results Services and JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the JCQ Appeals Booklet. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

The internal appeals form should be completed and submitted to the centre within 10 calendar days of the notification of the outcome of the RoR. Subject to the head of centre's decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body within the required 30 calendar days of the awarding body issuing the outcome of the review of results process. Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal should be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fee details are available from the head of exams). If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.

Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

This procedure confirms Radley College's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** that the centre will:

- have in place and available for inspection a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding... centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

Radley College will:

- comply with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and special consideration as set out in the JCQ publications **Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments** and **A guide to the special consideration process**
- ensure that all staff who manage and implement access arrangements and special consideration are aware of the requirements and are appropriately supported and resourced

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

In accordance with the regulations, Radley College:

- recognises its duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, through the access arrangements process submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the centre provides to disabled candidates.
- complies with its responsibilities in identifying, determining and implementing appropriate access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Failure to comply with the regulations have the potential to constitute malpractice which may impact on a candidate's result(s).

Examples of failure to comply include:

- putting in place access arrangements/adjustments that are not approved
- failing to consider putting in place access arrangements (which may be a failure to comply with the duty to make reasonable adjustments)
- permitting access arrangements/adjustments within the centre which are not supported by appropriate evidence
- charging a fee for providing reasonable adjustments to disabled candidates

Special consideration

Where Radley College has appropriate evidence signed by a member of the senior leadership team to support an application, it will apply for special consideration at the time of the assessment for a candidate who has temporarily experienced illness, injury or some other event outside of their control when the issue or event has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on the candidate's ability to take an assessment or demonstrate his or her normal level of attainment in an assessment.

Centre decisions relating to access arrangements, reasonable adjustments and special consideration

This may include Radley College's decision not to make/apply for a specific reasonable adjustment or to apply for special consideration, in circumstances where a candidate does not meet the criteria for, or there is no evidence/insufficient evidence to support the implementation of an access arrangement/reasonable adjustment or the application of special consideration.

Where Radley College makes a decision in relation to the access arrangement(s), reasonable adjustment(s) or special consideration that apply for a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied with its responsibilities or followed due procedures, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted.
- An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 10 calendar days of the decision being made known to the appellant or 7 calendar days before the awarding bodies published deadline.

To determine the outcome of the appeal, the Warden will consult the respective JCQ publication to confirm the centre has complied with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and/or special consideration and followed due procedures.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 7 calendar days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

If the appeal is upheld, Radley College will: proceed to implement the necessary arrangements/submit the necessary application.

Internal appeals form

Please tick box to indicate the nature of your appeal and complete all white boxes on the form below

FOR CENTRE USE ONLY	
Date received	
Reference No.	

- ☐ Appeal against an internal assessment decision.
- ☐ Appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of moderation or an appeal.
- ☐ Appeal against the centre's decision relating to an administrative issue.
- ☐ Appeal against the centre's decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration

This form must be signed, dated and returned to the Head of Exams on behalf of the Head of Centre to the timescale indicated in the relevant appeals procedure

Name of appellant		Candidate name if different to appellant	
Awarding body		Exam paper code	
Subject		Exam paper title	


Please state the grounds for your appeal below

(If applicable, tick below)

- ☐ Where my appeal is against an internal assessment decision I wish to request a review of the centre's marking.
- ☐ I understand that my mark may increase, decrease or stay the same.

If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed

Appellant signature: Date of signature:



RADLEY

Emergency Evacuation Policy (Exams)

2025/26

December 2025

Purpose of the policy

This policy details how Radley College deals with an emergency evacuation of the exam room(s) by defining staff roles and responsibilities and confirming the emergency evacuation procedure.

When is an emergency evacuation required?

An emergency evacuation is required where it is unsafe for candidates to remain in the exam room. This might include a fire in the exam room, the fire alarm sounding to warn of fire, bomb alert or other serious threat.

In exceptional situations, where candidates might be severely disadvantaged or distressed by remaining in the exam room, the emergency evacuation procedure may also need to be followed. This might include situations where there is severe disruption in the exam room, serious illness of a candidate or invigilator or similarly serious incidents.

As each incident may be different, advice will be sought from the relevant awarding body as soon as it is safe to do so, particularly where the centre is concerned about the security of the examination(s).

Where candidates are unable to return to the building to complete the examination, the relevant awarding body will be contacted immediately for advice.

Emergency evacuation of an exam room

Roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Ensures the emergency evacuation policy for exams is fit for purpose and complies with relevant health and safety regulation
- Ensures any instructions from relevant local or national agencies are referenced and followed where applicable.

Senior Management

- Where responsible for the centre-wide emergency evacuation procedure, ensures all staff and appointed fire marshals are aware of the policy and procedures to be followed when an emergency evacuation of an exam room is required

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place for the emergency evacuation of a disabled candidate from an exam room where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for the candidate
- Ensures the candidate is informed prior to taking their exams of what will happen in the event of an emergency evacuation

Head of Exams

- Ensures invigilators are trained in emergency evacuation procedures and how an incident and actions taken must be recorded
- Ensures candidates are briefed in talk given prior to exams taking place, on what will happen in the event of an emergency in the exam room
- Provides invigilators with a copy of the emergency evacuation procedure for every exam room

- Provides a standard invigilator announcement for each exam room which includes appropriate instructions for candidates about emergency procedures and what will happen if the fire alarm sounds
- Provides an exam room incident log in each exam room
- Liaises with the SENCo and other relevant staff prior to each exam where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate
- Briefs invigilators prior to each exam where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate
- Ensures appropriate follow-up is undertaken after an emergency evacuation reporting the incident to the awarding body and the actions taken.
- Ensures a full report of the incident is produced and retained on file if required by an awarding body (ICE 25.4)
- Ensures an online application for special consideration is submitted to the relevant awarding body where candidates have been disadvantaged (ICE 25.7)

Invigilators

- By attending training and/or update sessions, ensure they understand what to do in the event of an emergency in the exam room
- Follow the actions required in the emergency evacuation procedure issued to them for every exam room
- Confirm with the head of exams, where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate they are invigilating
- Record details on the exam room incident log to support follow-up reporting to the awarding body by the head of exams

Other relevant centre staff

- Support the senior management, SENCo, head of exams and invigilators in ensuring the safe emergency evacuation of exam rooms


Recording details

As soon as practically possible and safe to do so, details should be recorded. Details must include:

- the actual time of the start of the interruption
- the actions taken
- the actual time the exam(s) resumed
- the actual finishing time(s) of the resumed exam(s)

Further details could include:

- report on candidate behaviour throughout the interruption/evacuation
- a judgement on the impact on candidates after the interruption/evacuation



RADLEY

Emergency Evacuation of an Examination Room

On hearing the fire alarm or bomb alert in an emergency please take the following action


Barker Gym:

1. Stop the exam – tell all candidates to close their papers.
2. One invigilator to contact Head of Exams via Teams Exams group or phone 01235 543042
3. Record the time on the 'exam room checklist'
4. Instruct candidates to remain in silence and to leave their exam papers and belongings where they are.
5. Remind candidates they are still in exam conditions
6. If possible, await the arrival of Head of Exams and/or Head of exams / Lead Invigilator and a member of the Senior Management team before escorting the candidates from the room to the designated area by the Leisure Centre carpark.
7. Take the seating plan and exam room checklist with you.
8. Remind the candidates they **MUST** remain in complete silence
9. The Head of Exams or an invigilator will use the seating plan to register that all candidates are present.
10. When given permission escort the candidates back into the examination room
11. When all candidates are seated and calm restart the exam logging the time on the 'exam room checklist'
12. Adjust the end time to allow for the time out of the examination room
13. Record any additional details regarding behaviour etc on the incident log and ensure it is passed to head of exams at the end of the exam.

Small room:

Follow the procedures above except for the following:

4. If less than 10 candidates in the room and if time permits collect the exam papers and take them with you, in case you are able to use an alternative venue to continue the exam.
6. Escort the candidates in silence to the designated area for the building.



RADLEY

Special Consideration Policy

December 2025

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre	John Moule
Deputy Head (Systems)	Roger Shaw
Head of Examinations	Louise Vaan

What defines special consideration?

Special consideration is given to a candidate who has temporarily experienced illness, injury or some other event outside of their control **at the time of the assessment**. It is applied when the issue or event has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on a candidate's ability to take an assessment or demonstrate his or her normal level of attainment in an assessment.

A centre must decide whether the candidate meets the published criteria for special consideration. An awarding body cannot determine the candidate's eligibility and make the decision for the centre.

Applications must only be processed where they are supported by the centre and the candidate meets the published criteria for special consideration with evidence in place.

If the centre does not support an online application for special consideration, then it must not be submitted to the relevant awarding body or bodies. Speculative applications for special consideration cannot be accepted.

Where a candidate does not meet the published criteria for special consideration, the awarding body will reject the application and provide clear reasons for doing so.

Special consideration can only go some way to assist a candidate affected by a potentially wide range of difficulties, emotional or physical, which may influence performance in their assessments. It cannot remove the difficulty faced by the candidate. This means that there will be some situations where candidates should not be entered for a qualification or a unitised examination. This is because only minor adjustments can be made to the mark awarded. To make larger adjustments would jeopardize the standard of the qualification. (JCQ's **A guide to the special consideration process**, section 1)

This document is further referred to in this policy as SC

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to identify roles and responsibilities in the special consideration process and confirms that Radley College will submit any applications for special consideration where candidates meet the published criteria. (JCQ's General regulations for approved centres, section 5.9)

Eligibility for special consideration

Roles and responsibilities

Head of Centre

- Is familiar with the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to the annually updated JCQ publication *A guide to the special consideration process*
- Ensures that, where relevant and in eligible situations, applications for special consideration will be submitted to awarding bodies by the Head of Examinations

Head of Examinations

- Understands the criteria as detailed in *A guide to the special consideration process* to determine where candidates will/will not be eligible for special consideration
- Ensures that, where relevant and in eligible situations, applications for special consideration will be submitted to awarding bodies

Tutors, Teaching staff, SENCo

- Provide any appropriate evidence or information that may be required to determine a candidate's eligibility for special consideration.

Candidates (or parents/carers), or Radley College Health Centre

- Provide any medical or other evidence that may be required to determine eligibility for special consideration

Applying for special consideration

Where eligible, special **consideration will be applied for at the time of the assessment where candidates have been fully prepared and have covered the whole course but performance in the examination, or in the production of coursework or non-examination assessment, is materially affected by adverse circumstances beyond their control (SC 2)**

For candidates who are present for the assessment but disadvantaged Radley College must be satisfied that there has been a material detrimental effect on candidate examination performance or in the production of coursework or non-examination assessment. (SC, section 3)

Examples of possible scenarios

1. Where a candidate may arrive for an exam and is clearly unwell, extremely distressed and/or may have sustained an injury that requires emergency access arrangements to be put in place:
 - the candidate will be kept comfortable and under supervision from the required time while appropriate arrangements are put in place for the candidate to take the exam in the best possible conditions
 - a judgement will be made on how the candidate's situation or disposition affected performance in the exam
 - where appropriate and where eligible, special consideration will be applied for
2. Where candidates may be affected by a major disturbance in the exam room (emergency evacuation etc.), an online application for special consideration will be submitted to the relevant awarding body where candidates have been disadvantaged.
3. Where a candidate takes multiple exams (three or more exams) timetabled for the same day and the total duration for those papers is more than 6 hours for GCE exams or more than 5 hours 30 minutes for GCSE, Level 2 exams including any approved extra time but not any time taken for supervised rest breaks, special consideration for an allowance on the last paper taken will be applied for.
4. Where a candidate may be affected by a minor disturbance in the exam room caused by another candidate (momentary bad behaviour, mobile phone ringing etc.), special consideration cannot be applied for.

The centre **must not** submit applications for special consideration for trivial cases.

Examples of trivial cases which **would not** warrant special consideration include, but are not limited to:

- A bird tweeting outside the examination room
- A lorry reversing
- A toilet being flushed
- Doors in a corridor adjacent to the examination room opening and closing
- Very short, momentary noise from, for example, aeroplanes, helicopters or lawn mowers (SC 1)

If a candidate is absent from a timetabled component or unit for acceptable reasons, and the centre is prepared to support this, special consideration will be applied for if the exam missed is in the terminal series and the minimum requirements for enhanced grading in cases of acceptable absence can be met. If there is an opportunity to re-enter the candidate in the next available exam series, the centre will make the entry and special consideration will not be applied for.

Where other issues or problems affect a candidate or a group of candidates, special consideration will be explored in SC 5 and applied for where eligible. This might include, for example:

- other certification
- shortfall in work (coursework/non-examination assessment)
- lost or damaged work (non-examination assessment components)
- candidates issued with an incorrect or incomplete question paper, set the wrong coursework or non-examination assessment task or taught the wrong set text
-

Where a candidate may be eligible for special consideration (a post assessment adjustment) in other vocational qualifications, the centre will follow SC 7 and awarding body guidance to determine if, when and how an adjustment can be applied for.

Processing applications for special consideration

Roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Ensures, before any applications are processed, that the centre is satisfied that the candidates' circumstances warrant special consideration, as set out within the JCQ document *A guide to the special consideration process*
- Ensures that any applications for special consideration where candidates meet the published criteria and are sufficiently evidenced will be submitted to the relevant awarding body

Deputy Head (Systems)

- Authorises appropriate evidence to support all eligible applications
- Ensures that if the centre does not support an application for special consideration, it will not be submitted to the relevant awarding body
-

Head of Examinations

- Understands that special consideration must be applied for at the time of the assessment
- Understands that special consideration cannot be applied in a cumulative fashion and that where a candidate may be affected by different indispositions, special consideration should only be applied for the most serious disposition.
- Ensures applications will be processed as required by the awarding bodies
- Keeps evidence to support all applications on file until after the publication of results and provides the appropriate evidence authorised by the deputy head-systems to support an application where this may be requested by an awarding body
- Provides a Microsoft form to relevant staff, in order to gather evidence
- Meets the required deadline(s) for submitting applications

Tutors, Teaching staff, SENCo

- Provide any appropriate evidence or information that may be required to support a candidate's application for special consideration via a Microsoft form provided by the Head of Exams

Candidates (or parents/carers), or Radley College Health Centre

- Will be asked to provide any medical or other evidence that may be required to support an application for special consideration
- Will be informed that all cases must be dealt with by the centre

Submitting applications for special consideration

Where a candidate or group of candidates is/are eligible for special consideration, applications will be submitted to the relevant awarding body following the published processes in SC.

In cases of online applications for special consideration, the candidate/candidates will be informed when an application for special consideration is submitted to the awarding body (to ensure compliance with the UK GDPR/Data Protection Act 2018).

Evidence to support all applications will be kept on file until after the publication of results.

Timetabled written exams

- Applications for individual candidates will be submitted online (where the awarding body's secure system accepts these) by logging into the relevant awarding body secure extranet site and following the links to special consideration
- The processes for submitting a single application to cover all exams affected where a candidate is present but disadvantaged and a separate application for each day on which exams are missed where a candidate is absent from an examination for an acceptable reason, detailed in SC 6 will be followed

- **Form 14 Self certification form** (Self certification for candidates who have missed an examination) will only be completed by a candidate/parent/carer where circumstances warrant this and will not be used where the centre knows the candidate was ill

Internally assessed work

Where appropriate, applications will be made online where the awarding body's secure system accepts them or form 10 will be completed and submitted to the awarding body

Where an application relates to a shortfall in work for an individual candidate, this will be submitted by completing form 10 attached to a breakdown of marks across the assessment objectives


Private candidates

Any private candidate entered by the centre must liaise with the Head of Examinations (not the awarding body) regarding any application for special consideration

Applications post-publication of results

If, after the publication of results for a particular exam series, a claim is made that special consideration was not applied for at the time of an assessment where a candidate was eligible, the claimant will be informed that late applications will only be accepted by an awarding body in the most exceptional circumstances and where a member of the senior leadership team is able to produce compelling evidence to support a late application.

The application must be submitted before the deadline for reviews of results for the respective exam series.



RADLEY

Non Examination Assessment Policy

November 2025

Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments

Role	Name
Head of Centre	John Moule
Deputy Head (Systems)	Roger Shaw
Deputy Head (Academic)	Jonathan Porter
SENCo	Lil Pullen
Head of Examinations	Louise Vaan

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of all specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessments (where applicable) and coursework.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, a non-examination assessment (NEA) is classified as any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (GCE & GCSE)**, Foreword)

(This document is further referred to in this policy as NEA)

The Joint Council for Qualifications has written these instructions for the setting, supervision, authentication, marking, internal standardisation and external moderation of non-examination assessments (Vocational and Technical Qualifications) in examination centres.

These instructions are for use in... (JCQ's **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (VTQs)**, Introduction)

(This document is further referred to in this policy as NEA VTQs)

These instructions are for use in , CCEA GCE unitised AS and A-level qualifications, ELC and Project qualifications. (JCQ's **Instructions for conducting coursework**, Introduction)

(This document is further referred to in this policy as ICC)

Purpose of the policy

This policy confirms the JCQ requirement that [insert centre name] has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework, which includes details on how candidates' work will be authenticated.

Awarding bodies require each centre to have a non-examination assessment policy in place to:

cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments

define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments

manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

A JCQ Centre Inspector may ask the examinations officer to confirm that a policy is in place. Guidance provided in this document will help the head of centre to ensure that the centre's policy is robust and fit for purpose. ([NEA](#) 1)

What are non-examination assessments?

(GCE and GCSE) Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

task setting

task taking

task marking ([NEA](#) 1)

(VTQs) Non-examination assessment components assess candidates' knowledge, understanding and skills that may not readily be assessed by timed written papers. Non-examination assessment will take many different forms. ([NEA VTQs](#) 1)

What is coursework?

Coursework components assess candidates' knowledge, understanding and skills that may not readily be assessed by timed written papers. Coursework will take many different forms. ([ICC](#) 1)

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of [NEA](#), [NEA VTQs](#) and [ICC](#)
- Ensures the centre's policy is robust and fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre-assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessment which complies with [NEA](#), [NEA VTQs](#), [ICC](#) and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year.

Head of Department

- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)

Heads of Department

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment
- Ensures NEA, NEA VTOs and ICC and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role) to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA, NEA VTOs and ICC
- Where instructions may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessment, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries for the relevant exam series

Head of Examinations

Signposts the annually updated JCQ NEA, NEA VTOs and ICC documents to relevant centre staff

Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Private candidates

Head of Examinations

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body or designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents *Information for candidates - non-examination assessments* and *Information for candidates - social media*
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ *Information for candidates' documents*
- Ensures candidates:
 - understand that information from all sources must be referenced
 - receive guidance on setting out references
 - are aware that they must not plagiarise other material

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks
- Refers to the JCQ document *AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications* (<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice>) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator
 - By referencing this document and the centre's malpractice policy, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.
- Ensures candidates understand how all sources included in work that is submitted for assessment must be acknowledged

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

· Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine whether there are minimum and/or maximum time and word limits

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures - how candidates' work is authenticated

Subject teacher

- Has a good knowledge of the candidate's usual working style.
- For EPQ projects, the EPQ Coordinator liaises with the candidate's subject teachers who have a good knowledge of their usual working style.
- Closely supervises work as it is produced.
- Has regular checkpoints to monitor candidates' progress
- A sample of written work, including any work that the Hod has authentication concerns about, written work is checked on 'Turnitin' or a similar AI identification tool.

Where required by the awarding body's specification:

- ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work and ensures that this takes place as soon as the candidate has completed the assessment
- Signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Where there are doubts about the authenticity of a candidate's work, the subject teacher will not sign the declaration of authenticity.
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting a review of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)

- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and ICC and informs a member of the senior leadership team.

Head of Department

- will subject candidates to a viva to ascertain the candidate's knowledge and understanding of their assignment, if a subject teacher has any concerns about the authenticity of work. A second person may be present at the meeting to take notes.
- will ensure that the declaration of authenticity is not signed where there are doubts about the authenticity of a candidate's work.
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents or carers if videos, photographs or images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA, NEA VTQs and ICC unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series

- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document *Information for candidates – social media*)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including one off-site back-up and implementing appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates' work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations*
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification

- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations*

Submission of work

Subject teacher

- Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (eg son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Head of Department

- Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marketing process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Does not use artificial intelligence as the sole means of marking candidates' work
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role)

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff, etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation - for example by:
 - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results

- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams officer

- Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation – the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation – feedback

Head of Department

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks any moderator reports/feedback forms and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Head of Exams

- Accesses or signposts any moderator reports/ feedback forms to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher

- Works with the SENCo (or equivalent role) to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments
-

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo) (or equivalent role)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ document Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessment including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ document A guide to the special consideration process

- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 – JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body (AQA and Cambridge OCR centres must not submit Form 15 – JCQ/LCW. Applications must be submitted online using Centre Services or Interchange as appropriate)

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates-or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ document Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessments or coursework are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments and coursework
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates - coursework assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates - social media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Head of Examinations

- Signposts the JCQ document Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice
-

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ document Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedure* clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for a review of results or an appeal

Heads of Departments

- Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components as detailed in the JCQ document Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to centre-assessed work are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Head of centre

- Returns the 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role)

- Ensures arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the standards appropriately
-

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using *Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)*

- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Accepts contact with the monitor and passes information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks' notice
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role)

- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the *Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher


- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Private candidates**Head of Department**

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components/units of non-examination assessment/coursework (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification



RADLEY

Exams Lockdown Policy

November 2025

Definitions

For the purpose of this policy, the following definitions should be noted:

Lockdown

This is a protective measure implemented when there is a credible threat to the safety of candidates/students and staff. During a lockdown, individuals are instructed to remain inside the building and seek shelter in a secure location, away from the source of danger.

The purpose of a lockdown is to minimise exposure to potential harm and ensure the safety and wellbeing of everyone on site until the threat has been resolved or emergency services arrive.

Lockdown procedures may be activated in situations including:

- The presence of an unauthorised or suspicious individual on the grounds or within the building
- A violent, aggressive, or abusive parent/carer causing disruption
- A specific threat made against the centre or its occupants

All staff must be familiar with the centre's lockdown protocol and ensure candidates/students are guided calmly and efficiently to designated safe areas. Communication with emergency services and internal coordination will be managed by the head of centre or designated safeguarding lead.

Invacuation

This is a safety measure used when there is a potential external threat in the surrounding area. While lockdowns are activated in response to immediate risks within or directly threatening the premises, invacuations are employed when it is safer for candidates/students, staff, and visitors to remain inside the building due to hazards outside.

Invacuation procedures are designed to ensure that all individuals are brought indoors promptly and remain inside until the external threat has passed or further guidance is provided by emergency services.

An invacuation may be applicable to exam candidates if they have left the exam room in the event of an emergency evacuation (for example, due to a fire alarm).

Examples of situations requiring invacuation include:

- The presence of loose or potentially dangerous animals on the grounds
- Environmental hazards such as gas leaks, smoke, or chemical pollution in the vicinity
- Nearby incidents (e.g. police activity or civil disturbance) that may pose a risk if individuals exit the building

Staff are expected to follow the invacuation protocol calmly and efficiently, ensuring that all candidates/students are accounted for and safely indoors. The head of centre or designated safeguarding lead will oversee communication and coordination during such events.

Purpose of the policy

This policy details the measures taken at Radley College in the event of a centre lockdown during the conducting of examinations.

Depending on the nature of the incident, a decision will be made to evacuate, invacuate (an inward evacuation) or use a protected space(s). However, this policy focuses specifically upon the actions, roles and responsibilities during an exams-related lockdown.

Radley College has devised lockdown procedures during the conducting of examinations after consulting ProtectUK, the Department for Education's guidance relating to School and college security and Martyn's Law.

The Terrorism (Protection of Premises) Act 2025

Although Radley College is not classified as a high-risk venue under current legislation, we are committed to embedding the principles of Martyn's Law into our safeguarding and emergency preparedness framework. These include:

- Risk awareness: Staff being equipped to identify potential threats and recognise suspicious behaviour or activity that may pose a risk to students, staff and visitors
- Emergency planning: Maintaining clear, comprehensive, and regularly reviewed procedures to respond effectively to a range of emergency scenarios
- Rapid response capability: Training staff to act swiftly and appropriately in the event of an emergency to ensure the safety and protection of students, colleagues, and visitors

These principles underpin our broader approach to security and safeguarding, and will be reflected in staff training, policy reviews, and incident management protocols.

Compliance

To ensure that all staff at Radley College are meeting the requirements of the Terrorism (Protection of Premises) Act 2025, the following procedures are in place:

Evacuation (Getting people out of the building)

An evacuation of the exam room will be initiated when it is deemed safer for candidates and staff to leave the room and the building due to an internal threat such as fire, gas leak, structural damage, or other emergencies. This procedure ensures a swift, orderly, and safe exit to designated assembly points.

Step-by-step procedure is detailed in Appendix f

1. Activation of alarm

- The fire alarm or emergency alert system will be triggered manually or automatically
- Invigilators will immediately begin evacuation procedures without waiting for further instruction unless advised otherwise

2. Immediate response

- Candidates will be instructed to stop their examination and prepare to leave the exam room in line with the invigilator's instructions
- Invigilators will lead candidates out of the building via the nearest safe exit, avoiding lifts and blocked routes

3. Use of evacuation routes

- Pre-assigned evacuation routes and exits will be followed
- Invigilators will check the exam room (and any candidates who may have temporarily left the exam room) to ensure that no one is left behind

4. Assembly at designated point

- Invigilators will take candidates to the designated assembly point(s) outside the building
- Registers will be taken promptly to account for all candidates and invigilators
- Any missing candidates will be reported immediately to the senior leadership team or emergency services

5. Communication and co-ordination

- The head of centre or designated safeguarding lead will liaise with emergency services and provides updates
- Invigilators will supervise candidates and maintain calm until further instructions are given

6. Re-entry or dismissal

- No one will be permitted to re-enter the building until it has been declared safe by emergency personnel

Invacuation (Moving people to a safe place)

If candidates have been outside of the exam room, for example for an emergency evacuation, or on a supervised rest break, they will be brought back into the exam room promptly and remain inside until the situation is resolved. The procedure will include:

1. Initial Alert

- The head of centre or designated safeguarding lead will assess the situation and initiate the invacuation protocol
- A clear announcement will be made via the centre's communication internal messaging system

2. Movement Indoors

- All outdoor activities (for example, an emergency evacuation or supervised rest break) being undertaken by candidates sitting an examination will be halted immediately
- Candidates will be escorted indoors quickly and calmly, using the nearest safe entry point

3. Securing the Building

- External doors and windows will be closed and secured
- Access points will be monitored to prevent entry or exit until the all-clear is given

5. Internal Safety Measures

- Candidates and staff (invigilators) will remain in the exam room or designated safe area(s)
- Movement within the building will be restricted unless necessary
- Invigilators will take registers to account for all candidates and report any discrepancies to the exams office/officer (unless instructed otherwise)

6. Communication

- The head of centre or designated safeguarding lead will maintain contact with emergency services and monitor updates
- Parents/carers will be informed if appropriate, depending on the nature and duration of the incident

7. All-Clear and Debrief

- Once the threat has passed, an all-clear message will be issued
- Candidates will resume their examination
- The incident will be reported to the relevant awarding body/bodies, and a short debrief may be conducted to review the response and identify any improvements]

Lockdown procedure

Before an examination

If a lockdown is required as candidates are entering/waiting to enter the exam room, the following procedure will be employed:

- A member of SMT will be present around exam room areas
- Candidates will be instructed to enter the exam room immediately
- Candidates will be instructed to remain silent, hide under exam desks or sit against a wall/around a corner but not near the door and to ensure mobile phones are on silent and non-vibrate mode

- Where safe/possible, the SMT member will communicate (via mobile phone/walkie talkie) the situation to the head of exams (ensuring that all mobile phones/walkie talkies are on silent and non-vibrate mode)
- Where safe/possible, the head of exams will collate the information from all exam rooms and forward this to the head of centre immediately
- Invigilators will:
 - lock all windows and close all curtains/blinds
 - switch off all lights
 - lock all doors and/or use tables, or any other furniture, to barricade the entrance to the examination room
 - take an attendance register/head count if possible
 - (if the threat is a chemical or toxic release) instruct candidates to cover their nose and mouth (their own clothing can be used) and attempt to use anything to hand to seal up cracks around doors and any vents into the room

During an examination

If a lockdown is required during the exam/when candidates are in the exam room, the following procedure will be employed:

- Invigilators will:
 - tell candidates to stop writing immediately and close their answer booklets
 - collect the attendance register
 - make a note of time when the examination was suspended
 - instruct candidates to remain silent, leave all examination materials on their desks and hide under desks
 - where safe/possible, communicate (via mobile phone) the situation to the head of exams (ensuring that all mobile phones are on 'silent' mode).
 - lock all windows and close any/all curtains/blinds
 - switch off all lights
 - lock all doors and/or use tables, or any other furniture, to barricade the entrance to the examination room
 - (if the threat is a chemical or toxic release) instruct candidates to cover their nose and mouth (their own clothing can be used) and attempt to use anything to hand to seal up cracks around doors and any vents into the room
 - where safe/possible, not leave the examination question papers unattended/out of sight
- Where safe/possible, the head of exams will collate the information from all exam rooms and forward this to the head of centre immediately
- The head of centre will make informed decisions on alerting parents/carers, awarding bodies and emergency services
- If appropriate, where safe/possible, and following centre policy, the head of exams (or invigilators in the absence of the head of exams) will initiate the emergency evacuation procedure
- Where safe/possible, the head of exams will collect all examination papers and materials for safe/secure storage following advice from the appropriate awarding bodies

After an examination

If a lockdown is required after the exam/as candidates are leaving the exam room, the following procedure will be employed:

- Invigilators will:
 - stop dismissing candidates from the exam room
 - instruct candidates who have left the room to re-enter the exam room
 - instruct candidates to remain silent and hide under desks/tables
 - where safe/possible, communicate (via mobile phone) the situation to the head of exams (ensuring that all mobile phones are on silent and non-vibrate mode)
 - lock all windows and close any/all curtains/blinds
 - switch off all lights
 - lock all doors and/or use tables, or any other furniture, to barricade the entrance to the exam room
 - (if the threat is a chemical or toxic release) instruct candidates to cover their nose and mouth (their own clothing can be used) and attempt to use anything to hand to seal up cracks around doors and any vents into the room
 - where safe/possible, not leave the examination question papers and candidates' scripts unattended/out of sight.
- Where safe/possible, the head of exams will collate the information from all exam rooms and forward this to the head of centre immediately

Ending a lockdown

- The lockdown will be ended by either:
 - the sound of a defined alarm, or
 - the identification/authorisation of Emergency Service officers/SMT/head of centre entering each exam room
 - Invigilators will undertake a head count/register and confirm attendance with the head of exams /SMT
 - Where applicable and if advised to do so by SMT/head of centre, and following JCQ guidelines, if there is sufficient time remaining, candidates may restart their examination
 - Invigilators will then:
 - ask candidates to return to their desks, remind them they are under formal exam conditions and allow a settling down period
 - allow candidates the full working time remaining to do their examination
 - recalculate the revised finish time(s)
 - tell the candidates to open their answer booklets and re-start their exam
 - amend the revised finish time(s) on display to candidates
 - note how long the lockdown lasted on the exam room incident log (to later inform a report to the awarding body/bodies and where relevant, any centre-wide lockdown recording form/log)
 - The head of exams will:
 - safely/securely store all collected exam papers and materials pending awarding body advice/guidance
- and where this may be applicable:

- ensure appropriate follow-up is undertaken after the incident, reporting the incident to the awarding body and the actions taken, where this may be applicable
- where this may be applicable, ensure a full report of the incident is produced and retained on file if required by an awarding body
- where this may be applicable, ensure an online application for special consideration is submitted to the relevant awarding body where candidates have been disadvantaged
- At the earliest immediate opportunity the head of centre will ensure that any breach of question paper security or malpractice is reported to the awarding body
- Where applicable/possible/available, SMT/head of exams will
 - discuss any alternative exam sittings with the awarding body/bodies
 - offer, arrange and provide support services to staff and candidates
- At the earliest opportunity, SMT/head of centre will prepare a communication to parents/carers advising them of events (including relevant actions and outcomes)
- Where possible, exams staff and candidates will be invited to attend an assembly lead by the head of centre to discuss the lockdown and offer ongoing support
 - If this is not possible, communications will be provided via a centre text/email/newsletter and information uploaded to the centre website

Roles and Responsibilities

Head of centre

- To ensure that vulnerability and space assessments are conducted to:
 - Identify specific risks (for example, intruders, violent or aggressive individuals or incidents happening in the local area)
 - Consider different times of the day/during an examination when candidates may be more dispersed across the site or away from the exam room (for example, during a supervised rest break, a toilet break)
 - Highlight areas where an attacker might carry out an attack, and where the easiest places to gain access are
 - Assess how many candidates can be accommodated in a particular (safe) area (for example, the exam room)
- To ensure that a dedicated lockdown alarm tone is in place and recognised by all staff and candidates
- To ensure that all staff involved in the conducting of examinations are trained in how to raise the alarm for a lockdown, act effectively and made aware of their responsibilities
- To arrange appropriate training for all exams-related staff in evacuation, invacuation and lockdown procedures
- To ensure that candidates are aware of the procedures relating to an evacuation, invacuation and lockdown, particularly those arriving late for an examination who cannot access the exam room due to it being in lockdown
- To ensure that all candidates and staff are aware of a possible exit point in case an intruder manages to gain access, or the exam room becomes unsafe
- To provide written invacuation and lockdown procedures for exam room/invigilator use
- To inform the relevant emergency services immediately in the case of any potential threat to the safety of exams staff and candidates

Senior Management team


- To have accountability for all exams staff and candidates taking examinations during an invacuation/ lockdown
- To run training/drills for examination candidates on invacuation and lockdown procedures
- To inform parents/carers about the centre's Lockdown policy in relation to the conducting of examinations
- To have a presence around exam room areas prior to the start of each exam session
- To liaise with the appropriate authorities and awarding bodies regarding candidates taking examinations during an invacuation/lockdown
- To use the exam room attendance register(s) to compile a list of all candidates not accounted for

Head of Examinations

- To train invigilators in the centre's invacuation and lockdown procedure - this should also include identifying all access and egress points within exam rooms, an awareness of the design of the locking device within each room and if there is more than one invigilator, whose role it would be to secure the exam room
- Where safe/possible, to liaise with SMT/invigilators in all exam rooms during invacuation and lockdown
- To assist with invacuation and lockdown training for staff and students where applicable to the conducting of examinations

Invigilators

- To be aware of the centre's invacuation and lockdown procedure(s)
- To quickly and physically secure access/egress points
- To be aware of an effective communication system to inform authorities of the situation
- To complete attendance registers as soon as possible so candidates can be identified in the event of an invacuation/lockdown
- Where safe/possible, to communicate with the exams officer during an invacuation/lockdown to confirm the situation in a particular exam room
- Where safe/possible, not leave the examination question papers and candidates' scripts unattended/out of sight



RADLEY

Exams whistleblowing policy

December 2025

Key staff involved in the policy/procedure

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre - Warden	John Moule
Deputy Head (Systems)	Roger Shaw
Head of Exams	Louise Vaan

Introduction

Whistleblowing at Radley College is encouraged, not penalised, and staff are made aware that they have a duty to report any concerns they have about the conduct of examinations.

The head of centre and council at Radley College aim to create and maintain an approach to examinations that reflects an ethical culture, and encourages staff and students to be aware of and report practices that could compromise the integrity and security of examinations.

In compliance with section 5.11 of the JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres**¹, Radley College will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place
- inform the awarding body **immediately** of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation
- as required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**² and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require

This policy requirement has been added within **General Regulations for Approved Centres** in response to the recommendations within the report of the *Independent Commission on Examination Malpractice*³.

This policy sets out the whistleblowing procedures at Radley College. It has been produced by Roger Shaw who is also a member of the senior management team and responsible for handling any cases of whistleblowing concerning the exams process. He is fully aware of the contents of this policy and will escalate any instances of malpractice to the relevant awarding body/bodies.

This policy also sets out the principles which allow members of centre staff and students to feel confident in reporting instances of actual, alleged or suspected malpractice to relevant members of senior leadership, and the steps which should be taken if suspected malpractice is not reported in line with JCQ requirements.

Purpose of the policy

This policy:

- encourages individuals to raise concerns, which will be fully investigated by appropriately trained and experienced individuals
- identifies how to report concerns

¹ Reference www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations/

² Reference www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/

³ Reference www.jcq.org.uk/examination-system/imc-home/

- explains how such concerns will be investigated and sets expectations regarding the reporting of outcomes
- provides details of relevant bodies to whom concerns about wrongdoing can be reported, including awarding organisations and regulators
- includes a commitment to do everything reasonable to protect the reporter's identity, if requested
- sets out how those raising concerns will be supported.

This policy also details the steps that could be taken by an individual involved in the management, administration and/or conducting of examinations if Radley College fails to comply with its obligation to report any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration.

The Whistleblower

A whistleblower is defined as a person who reports an actual or potential wrongdoing and is protected by the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998, providing they are acting in the public interest.

If the person raising the issue is a worker, this will be considered as whistleblowing. This includes agency staff and contractors.

Reporting

If a member of centre staff involved in the management, administration and/or conducting of examinations (such as head of exams, deputy head of exams or invigilator), a student or a member of the public (such as a parent/carer) has a concern or reason to believe that malpractice has or will occur in an examination or assessment, concerns should normally be raised initially with Roger Shaw , deputy head (systems)

However, there may be times when it may be more appropriate to refer the issue direct to council, most often when the allegation is against the head of centre.

Examples of malpractice

In addition to the centre wide Whistleblowing Policy, this exams-specific policy, includes reference to exams-related breaches including, but not limited to, the following:

- Failure to comply with exam regulations as set out by the Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) and its awarding bodies
- A security breach of the examination paper
- Conduct of centre staff which undermines the integrity of the examination
- Unfair treatment of candidates by either giving an advantage to a candidate/group of candidates (e.g. by permitting a candidate an access arrangement which is not supported by appropriate evidence), or disadvantaging candidates by not providing access to the appropriate conditions (providing a 'level playing field')
- Possible fraud and corruption (e.g. accessing the exam paper prior to the exam to aid teaching and learning)
- Abuse of authority (e.g. the head of centre/members of the senior management team overriding JCQ and awarding body regulations)

Whistleblowing procedure

If the individual does not feel safe raising the issue/reporting malpractice within the centre, or they have done so and are concerned that no action has been taken, that individual could consider making their disclosure⁴ to a malpractice expert at the awarding body for the qualification where malpractice is suspected.

For members of centre staff, it is likely that the Public Interest Disclosure Act (PIDA)⁵ offers you legal protection from being dismissed or penalised for raising certain serious concerns ('blowing the whistle'). Whistleblowing rights under PIDA are day one rights⁶. This means that the worker does not need the same two years' service that is needed for other employment rights.

In order to investigate concerns effectively, the awarding body should be provided with as much information as possible/is relevant, which may include:

- The qualifications and subjects involved
- The centre involved
- The names of staff/candidates involved
- The regulations breached/specific nature of suspected malpractice
- When and where the suspected malpractice occurred
- Whether multiple examination series are affected
- If the issue has been reported to the centre and what the outcome was
- How the issue became apparent

Members of the public are not protected by PIDA, but the awarding body will make every effort to protect their identity if that is what they wish, unless the awarding body is legally obliged to release it⁷.

Alternatively, a disclosure may be made to Ofqual⁸ as a prescribed body for whistleblowing to raise a concern about wrongdoing, risk or malpractice.

Anonymity

In some circumstances, the whistle-blower might find it difficult to raise concerns with the nominated member of the senior leadership team. If a concern is raised anonymously, the issue may not be able to be taken further if insufficient information has been provided. In such instances, and if appropriate, the allegation may be disclosed to a union representative, who could then be required to report the concern without disclosing its source. Alternatively, whistle-blowers or others with concerns about potential

⁴ Reference www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/public-interest-disclosure-act/

⁵ Reference **Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998** www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1998/23/contents

⁶ Reference <https://protect-advice.org.uk/pida/>

⁷ Reference www.ocr.org.uk/administration/general-qualifications/assessment/malpractice/whistleblowing/

⁸ Reference www.gov.uk/guidance/ofquals-whistleblowing-policy

malpractice can report the matter direct to Ofqual, who is identified as a 'prescribed body'⁹. Awarding organisations are not prescribed bodies under whistleblowing legislation; however, awarding organisation investigation teams do give those reporting concerns the opportunity for anonymity.


A whistleblower can give his/her name, but may also request confidentiality; the person receiving the information should make every effort to protect the identity of the whistle-blower.

Students

Students at Radley College are made to feel comfortable discussing/reporting malpractice issues of which they are aware. The regulations surrounding their assessments, and wider academic integrity, will be reiterated to students who are undertaking, or who are about to undertake, their courses of study.

Further information on Radley College's whistleblowing policy can be found on the school website.

⁹ Reference www.gov.uk/government/publications/blowing-the-whistle-list-of-prescribed-pHoEple-and-bodies--2/whistleblowing-list-of-prescribed-pHoEple-and-bodies



RADLEY

Conflicts of Interest policy

November 2025

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre - Warden	John Moule
Deputy Head (Systems)	Roger Shaw
Head of Exams	Louise Vaan

Introduction

It is the responsibility of the head of centre to ensure that Radley College has a written conflicts of interest policy in place available for inspection. This policy confirms that Radley College:

- Manages conflicts of interest by informing the awarding bodies, before the published deadline for entries for each examination series, of: any members of centre staff who are taking qualifications at their own centre which include internally assessed components/units
- any members of centre staff who are teaching and preparing members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) for qualifications which include internally assessed components/units

and maintains clear records of all instances where:

- exams office staff have members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) being entered for examinations and assessments either at the centre itself or other centres
- centre staff are taking qualifications at their own centre which do not include internally assessed components/units
- centre staff are taking qualifications at other centres (GR 5.3)

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to confirm how Radley College manages conflicts of interest under normal delivery arrangements in accordance with the regulations.

General principles

A process is in place to collect information via a Microsoft form in the Michaelmas term to establish any conflicts of interest in the current academic year. Targeted staff will be exams office staff and all teaching dons also those who work in the Lodge where exam papers are delivered. to manage any conflicts of interest.

Declaration process

In November all relevant staff will receive via email a link to a Microsoft form for completion if they consider themselves to have a conflict of interest. All responses will be required by end of November.

Managing conflicts of interest

A conflict of interest log is maintained and any potential conflict declared by centre staff is centrally recorded on the log. If applicable the relevant awarding body will be informed prior to the entries deadline. The information will include the steps Radley College have taken to mitigate the conflict and ensure the integrity of all exams taken in the centre.

Roles and responsibilities


The role of the head of centre

- Ensure conflicts of interest are managed according to the requirements (GR 5.3)
- Ensure clear records are maintained and that the records include details of the measures taken to mitigate any potential risk to the integrity of the qualifications affected (GR 5.3)
- Ensure the records are available where they may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector and/or awarding body staff (GR 5.3)
- Ensure the records are retained until the deadline for reviews of marking has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later (GR 5.3)
- Ensure that entering members of centre staff for qualifications at this centre is as a last resort in cases where the member of centre staff is unable to find another centre
- Ensure that proper protocols are in place to prevent the member of centre staff having access to examination materials prior to the examination and that other centre staff are briefed on maintaining the integrity and confidentiality of the examination materials
- Ensure that during the examination series the member of centre staff is treated in the same way as any other candidate entered for that examination, does not have access to examination materials and does not receive any preferential treatment (GR 5.3)

Additional responsibilities:

The role of Head of Exams

- Ensure the process for collecting declarations of interest is undertaken.
- Identify and follow the awarding body's administrative process for submitting details of members of staff who are:
 - Taking qualifications which include internally assessed components/units at their own centre
 - Teaching and preparing members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) for qualifications which include internally assessed components/units (GR 5.3)
- Retain the records of the measures taken to mitigate any potential risk to the integrity of the qualifications affected until the deadline for reviews of marking has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later (GR 5.3)



RADLEY

Malpractice Policy

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre - Warden	John Moule
Deputy Head (Systems)	Roger Shaw
Head of Exams	Louise Vaan

Introduction

What is malpractice and maladministration?

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are distinct but related concepts, the common theme being that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations, and/or
- a breach of awarding body requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered, and/or
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification

which:

- gives rise to prejudice to candidates, and/or
- compromises public confidence in qualifications, and/or
- compromises, attempts to compromise or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate, and/or
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding body or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding body or centre (SMPP 1)
- **Candidate malpractice**
 - 'Candidate malpractice' normally involves malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or non-examination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the completion of any examination. (SMPP 2)

Centre staff malpractice

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre, or
- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre, such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

Suspected malpractice

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice (regardless of how the incident might be categorised, as described in SMPP, section 1.9). (SMPP 2)

Purpose of the policy

To confirm Radley College:

- has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice) (GR 5.3)

General principles

In accordance with the regulations Radley College will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place (GR 5.11)
- inform the awarding body **immediately** of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- as required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the current JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures** and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require (GR 5.11)

Preventing malpractice

Radley College has in place:

- Robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**. (SMPP 4.3)
- This includes ensuring that staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance:
 - General Regulations for Approved Centres 2025-2026
 - Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2025-2026
 - Instructions for conducting coursework 2025-2026
 - Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2025-2026
 - Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2025-2026
 - A guide to the special consideration process 2025-2026
 - Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2025-2026 (this document)
 - Plagiarism in Assessments
 - AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
 - Post Results Services June 2025 and November 2025

- A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2025-2026
- Guidance for centres on cyber security

(SMPP 3.2)

Informing and Advising Candidates how to Avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments

Identification and reporting of malpractice

- Candidates will be issued with of the JCQ **Information for candidates - AI (Artificial Intelligence and assessments)** prior to completing their work/prior to signing the declaration of authentication. This is available on the school website and candidates are emailed to inform them of the location of these documents.
- The Deputy Head (academic), Deputy Head (systems) and Head of Examinations hold a briefing in the Lent Term, before the start of the summer exam season.

AI Use in Assessments Artificial intelligence

- For any assessments that could be affected by the use of AI, teaching staff will inform the candidates of the regulations around AI and also the consequences of its misuse.
- Staff are emailed the AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications guidance. This is also available of the Staff Hub.
- The JCQ poster is displayed in classrooms of all subjects that teach NEA subject.
- Candidates will be issued with of the JCQ **Information for candidates - AI (Artificial Intelligence and assessments)** prior to completing their work/prior to signing the declaration of authentication. This is available on the school website and candidates are emailed to inform them of the location of these documents.

Escalating suspected malpractice issues

Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels (SMPP 4.3)

- If malpractice is suspected during an exam, the invigilators will contact the Head of Exams.
- The Head of exams will ensure that the invigilators have taken a note of the time the malpractice was suspected and that they have written a brief report detailing the suspected malpractice etc.
- If appropriate the HOE will speak to the student involved immediately - e.g. to remove a smart watch.
- If not appropriate, the HOE will speak to the candidate after the exam, following the guidelines in the JCQ Malpractice publication.
- The Head of Centre will be informed and will speak to the student in line with the regulations in the Malpractice publication.
- Detail the process in your centre which confirms how and to whom any suspected malpractice issues should be escalated (Describe the process and include the name(s) and/or role(s) of those staff to whom any issues should be escalated to)

Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** (SMPP 4.1.3)

The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** (SMPP 4.1.3)

The head of centre will ensure that, where a candidate is a child or an adult at risk and is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)

Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)

Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)

- Candidate malpractice offences relating to the content of work (i.e. inappropriate/offensive content, copying/collusion, plagiarism (including AI misuse) and/or false declaration of authentication) which are discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication, do not need to be reported to the awarding body. Instead, they will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures.

Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment where the offence does not relate to the content of candidates' work (e.g. possession of unauthorised materials, breach of assessment conditions) or where a candidate has signed the declaration of authentication, must be reported using a JCQ M1 to the relevant awarding body. If, at the time of the malpractice, there is no entry for that candidate (who the centre intended to enter), the centre is required to submit an entry by the required entry deadline. (SMPP 4.5)

- If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence that an individual may have committed malpractice, that individual (the candidate or the member of staff) will be informed of all the required information and the accused individual informed of their rights and responsibilities (SMPP 5.33-3.4)

- Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information-gatherer) will submit a written report to the relevant awarding body summarising the information obtained and actions taken, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (5.35)

Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)

- The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

Communicating malpractice decisions

Once a decision has been made, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible. The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1)

Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice

Radley College will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant
- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ publication A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes

Contingency Agreement between John Mason School and Radley College

This document constitutes a formal agreement between John Mason School and Radley College regarding a contingency plan for conducting examinations, should unforeseen circumstances prevent exams from taking place at either institution.

Agreement Overview

Both schools recognise the importance of uninterrupted examination schedules for our students. This agreement outlines the terms under which John Mason School and Radley College will cooperate to ensure examinations can take place at an alternative location if either school is unable to hold them due to an emergency (e.g. natural disasters, infrastructure issues, health concerns, etc.).

Terms of the Agreement

Contingency Activation

This agreement will come into effect if John Mason School or Radley College is unable to conduct scheduled exams due to a valid and confirmed emergency situation. In such an event, the school in need (Requesting School) will contact the other school (Host School) prior to the exam to initiate the contingency plan.

Hosting Provisions

The Host School agrees to provide spaces suitable for the administration of exams as well as capacity to isolate students if there is insufficient capacity to administer exams for both cohorts simultaneously.

The Host School will ensure that all necessary arrangements (e.g. seating, exam desks, access to restrooms, etc.) are in place, and the examination environment adheres to the required standards and JCQ regulations.

Responsibilities of the Requesting School

The Requesting School will ensure that its staff and invigilators are present at the Host School on the day of the examination to oversee the process in accordance with the JCQ regulations and its own policies.

Any additional costs incurred by the Host School in the course of providing space for exams (e.g. cleaning, security, utilities, etc.) will be reimbursed by the Requesting School, as agreed upon between both parties.

If the Host School is hosting exams and lacks capacity for the Requesting School's students to sit their exams as scheduled, the Requesting School will be responsible for supervising their students while in isolation until the Host School has capacity to host the exams.

Confidentiality and Academic Integrity

Both schools agree to uphold the highest standards of academic integrity and confidentiality regarding exam materials and procedures. Neither school shall disclose exam content or breach examination protocols under any circumstances.

Health and Safety Measures

Both schools agree to adhere to all relevant health and safety guidelines during the administration of exams, especially if this agreement is activated during a public health crisis or similar situation.

Duration of Agreement

This agreement will remain in effect for the academic year 2024-2025, with the option for renewal at the discretion of both schools.

Amendments and Termination

This agreement may be amended by mutual consent of both schools. Either party may terminate this agreement with 90 days written notice, provided that no exams are scheduled to take place within that period.

Signatures

By signing below, both parties agree to the terms and conditions outlined in this letter.

For John Mason School:

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

For Radley College:

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____